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Abstract

Many real-world industrial processes involve non-spherical particles suspended in a fluid medium. Knowledge of the flow behavior of these suspensions is essential for optimizing their transport properties and designing processing equipment. In the present work, we explore and report on the rheology of such concentrated suspensions under steady and dynamic shear flow. These suspensions exhibit a rich non-Newtonian rheology that includes shear thickening and normal stress differences at high shear stresses. Scalings are proposed to connect the material properties of these suspensions of cubic particle to those measured for suspensions of spherical particles. Negative first normal stress differences indicate that lubrication hydrodynamic forces dominate the stress in the shear-thickened state. Accounting for the increased lubrication hydrodynamic interactions between the flat surfaces of the cubic particles allows for a quantitative comparison of the deviatoric stress in the shear-thickened state to that of spherical particles. New semi-empirical models for the viscosity and normal stress difference coefficients are presented for the shear-thickened state. The results of this study indicate that cubic particles offer new and unique opportunities to formulate colloidal dispersions for field-responsive materials.
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1. Introduction

The viscosity of suspensions at low particle concentrations can be expressed as an expansion in the particle volume fraction as\(^1\):

\[
\eta_r = 1 + k_E \phi + k_H \phi^2 + \text{higher order terms}
\]  

(1)

In the equation above, \(\eta_r\) is the relative viscosity, \(\phi\) is the volume fraction, and \(k_E\) and \(k_H\) are the Einstein and Huggins coefficients expressed in terms of volume fraction, respectively. For hard-spheres, Einstein\(^2\) calculated the isolated particle contribution to the viscosity to be \(k_E = 2.5\), and this result holds independent of the particle size or size distribution. The quadratic term in the viscosity expansion accounts for pair interactions between particles, and the value of the Huggins coefficient can reveal information about the nature of the interparticle potential\(^3\). Batchelor and Green\(^4\) calculated the value of the order \(\phi^2\) coefficient to be 5.2 for random suspensions of hard-spheres in shear flow. This was refined to a value of 5.0 by Wagner and Woutersen\(^5\), and the introduction of Brownian motion between particles increases the value to 6.0\(^6\).

Brownian motion within a hard-sphere dispersion leads to a rich non-Newtonian rheology that includes shear thickening at high shear stresses\(^7,8\). The onset of shear thickening in these suspensions is well-established to be a stress-controlled phenomenon\(^9-11\). Shear thickening is a direct consequence of the flow-induced microstructure\(^12-15\) that results in large lubrication stresses between particles. For Brownian hard-sphere dispersions, in the limit of large Péclet (\(Pe\)) number, the theory of Brady and Morris\(^16\) predicts the emergence of a shear-thickened state. Such a state was confirmed to exist by the experiments of Cwalina and Wagner\(^8\), and the viscosity of the shear-thickened state was modeled using the Eilers equation with a maximum particle volume fraction of \(\phi_{\text{max}} = 0.54\). Indeed, Cwalina and Wagner\(^8\) demonstrated that the semi-empirical model of Morris and Boulay\(^17\) quantitatively captured the scaling of the deviatoric stress in the shear-thickened state by modeling it as that of a non-Brownian suspension comprised of ‘hydroclusters’, which are stress-induced density fluctuations driven by lubrication hydrodynamic interactions. Simulations by Morris and co-workers have demonstrated that the introduction of particle inertia\(^18,19\) and interparticle friction in addition to lubrication hydrodynamics can enhance the shear thickening response\(^20\).

While the hard-sphere suspension has historically received much theoretical, experimental, and computational attention, many suspensions used in industrial applications consist of non-spherical particles such as fibers, disks, spheroids, etc. For a review of the rheology of these suspensions containing non-spherical particles, see Mewis and Wagner\(^21\). Recently, there has been a significant advance in the ability to synthesize cubic particles with a variety of surface chemistries\(^22-40\). This emerging class of particles contains facets as well as sharp edges and corners. These shape features alter the fluid velocity and pressure fields around the particle, and as such, should directly affect the measured rheology.
While the rheology of suspensions of anisotropic particles has been of significant technological and scientific interest (see, for example Egres and Wagner\textsuperscript{41}, and for an overview, see Chapter 5 of Mewis and Wagner\textsuperscript{21}), the flow behavior of suspensions of cubic particles has only recently begun to be investigated. Simulations and experiments by Mallavajula et al.\textsuperscript{42} examined the fluid flow around a cubic particle and the resulting suspension rheology in the dilute limit. The authors calculated an Einstein coefficient of 3.1, which is larger than the value of 2.5 for hard-spheres. The authors confirmed this result experimentally using dispersions of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanocubes ($k_E = 3.1 \pm 0.2$) and the Einstein coefficient was shown to be independent of the particle size and size distribution. Audus et al.\textsuperscript{43} employed three different computational methods and obtained very similar results. Vickers and co-workers\textsuperscript{44} measured the steady shear rheology of a limited number of concentrated suspensions consisting of near monodisperse Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanocubes. Shear thinning and shear thickening were observed at low and high Pe numbers, respectively. Finally, recent experimental work by Royer et al.\textsuperscript{45} on suspensions of ‘superballs’, which are cube-like distortions of spheres, yielded a value of 2.54 for the Einstein coefficient, demonstrating that rounding of the edges and corners can lead to an Einstein coefficient only marginally higher than that for hard-spheres. At higher packing fractions, these superballs also exhibited shear thickening under steady shear. However, as we will demonstrate here, the lack of truly flat surfaces for superballs leads to a rheological response more akin to spherical particle suspensions.

The objective of the present work is to expand our understanding of the rheology of suspensions containing cubic particles, particularly at higher volume fractions where shear thickening is evident. Suspensions of industrially produced cubic particles are formulated and characterized. Measurements were made under steady and oscillatory shear, along with the first measurements of the normal stress differences in the shear-thickened state for suspensions of cubic particles. The material properties of these suspensions of cubic particles in a Newtonian fluid are compared to those of suspensions of spherical particles, with emphasis on the apparent high shear plateau and shear-thickened state\textsuperscript{8}. Importantly, the sensitivity of the suspension rheology to particle shape is explored by contrasting the results of the present study with those of a recent investigation by Royer et al.\textsuperscript{45} of superball suspensions.

2. Experimental Section

Materials

Cubic aluminosilicate zeolite particles (Advera\textsuperscript{®} 401) were obtained from PQ Corporation (Philadelphia, PA) and suspended in a Newtonian fluid ($\eta_f = 0.05$ Pa*s at 25\textdegree C) of polyethylene glycol [average molecular weight = 200] (PEG-200) from Sigma-Aldrich (Allentown, PA). The SEM image in Figure 1 reveals the nature of the particle shape, which includes facets and edges. The particles are polydisperse, with an edge length ($l$) distribution
reported by the manufacturer to be: $l_{10} = 1.3 \, \mu m$, $l_{50} = 3.0 \, \mu m$, $l_{90} = 5.8 \, \mu m$. The particle density in suspension determined from densitometry was $\rho_p = 2.16 \, g/cm^3$.

Figure 1. SEM image of cubic aluminosilicate zeolite particles used in this study. The scale bar in the lower right is 1 µm.

**Rheological Characterization**

Stress-controlled rheometry was performed using an AR-2000 Rheometer from TA Instruments (New Castle, DE) with a 40 mm $^0$ cone and plate tooling at 25 °C. An additional set of normal force measurements were obtained for a select number of suspensions using a 40 mm $^0$ cone and plate and a 40 mm parallel plate on a Discovery Hybrid Rheometer (DHR-3) from TA Instruments. The Force Rebalance Transducer of the DHR-3 provides a normal force sensitivity of 0.005 N and a normal force resolution of 0.5 mN. The effect of inertia on the normal force measurements was accounted for using the correction of Turian$^{46}$. Validation of this correction for the suspensions considered in this work can be found in Appendix A. The largest particle Reynolds number encountered during measurement was on the order of $10^3$, thus meeting the criterion for Stokes flow.
3. Results and Discussion

*Dilute and Semi-Dilute Suspensions*

The steady shear viscosity curves for volume fractions ranging from 0.023 to 0.137 are shown in Figure 2. At the lowest concentrations, the measurements are almost entirely reversible and the steady shear viscosity is nearly Newtonian over a range of shear stresses that spans several orders of magnitude. As the particle concentration increases, a very small but consistent hysteresis is observed. For a given volume fraction, the magnitude of the relative viscosity is taken to be the average value measured across the range of shear stresses probed in both the ascending and descending directions.

![Figure 2](image_url)

Figure 2. Steady shear viscosity plotted as a function of the applied shear stress for dilute and semi-dilute suspensions of cubic particles in a Newtonian PEG-200 suspending medium. The filled and open symbols mark steady flow sweeps in the descending and ascending directions.

Equation 1 can be rearranged into the following form:

\[
\frac{\eta_r^{-1}}{\phi} = k_E + k_H \phi
\]  

(2)
By plotting $\eta_{r}^{-1} / \phi$ versus $\phi$, $k_{E}$ and $k_{H}$ can be extracted from the y-intercept and slope, respectively. The values of $k_{E}$ and $k_{H}$ for these cubic particle suspensions are regressed from Figure 3 to be $3.5 \pm 0.3$ and $23.7 \pm 3.0$, respectively. The suspension relative viscosity is also plotted in Figure 3 as a function of the volume fraction. Beyond a volume fraction of about 0.025, the inclusion of the order $\phi^{2}$ term is necessary to capture the concentration dependence of the viscosity. The value of the Einstein coefficient measured for the suspensions in this study is above that for hard-sphere suspensions (2.5) and slightly larger than that predicted for perfect hard cubes (3.1). The value of the Huggins coefficient for the cubic particle suspensions is significantly larger than that for hard-sphere suspensions with (6.0) and without (5.0) Brownian motion. At the present time, there is no theory for the value of the Huggins coefficient for cubic particles with which to compare. However, the higher value of $k_{H}$ can be anticipated as the particles at not index-matched such that moderate attractive dispersion forces are expected.
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**Figure 3.** (Left) Data analyzed according to Equation 2 with values of $k_{E}$ and $k_{H}$ extracted from the y-intercept and slope, respectively. (Right) Concentration dependence of the suspension viscosity in the dilute and semi-dilute concentration regimes. The dashed line contains the isolated particle contribution to the suspension viscosity and the solid line contains the additional $\phi^{2}$ dependence outside the dilute regime.

**Concentrated Suspensions**

The rich rheology of a more concentrated $\phi_{cubes} = 0.295$ suspension is displayed in Figure 4. The rheology at this particular volume fraction will be discussed as an illustrative example with a larger data library including a range of concentrations to be discussed later. The qualitative features of the rheology at this particular volume fraction are characteristic of the
behavior of the other concentrated suspensions. Under steady shear, the viscosity is essentially Newtonian up until an applied shear stress of about $\sigma = 1$ Pa, with shear thickening evident at larger shear stresses. Note that a characteristic stress from Brownian motion, $\sigma_B$, can be defined as $\sigma_B \sim \frac{kT}{\gamma^3}$, which is of order $10^{-4}$ and thus, all rheological measurements are at a comparatively high relative stress or Péclet number. The viscosity reaches a maximum value in a plateau regime around $\sigma = 100$ Pa. This constant viscosity plateau is characteristic of the shear-thickened state predicted from theory$^6, 16$ and observed experimentally by Cwalina and Wagner$^8$ for dispersions of spherical colloids in a Newtonian fluid. While there is currently no theory that predicts the existence of a shear-thickened state for suspensions of cubic particles in a Newtonian fluid, the empirical observations here suggest that indeed a shear-thickened state exists for this class of suspensions. The shear-thickened state is followed by a shear thinning regime at even higher stresses. The weak shear thinning behavior is characteristic of suspensions of anisotropic mineral particles$^{41}$ and has been successfully described by elastohydrodynamic theory$^{47}$ (see Appendix B).

$$\phi_{\text{cubes}} = 0.295$$

![Figure 4](image.png)

**Figure 4.** Relative steady shear viscosity (circles) and relative complex viscosity for a $\phi_{\text{cubes}} = 0.295$ suspension measured at $\omega = 1$ rad/s (triangles) as a function of the applied shear stress and shear stress-amplitude, respectively. Sweeps in the ascending (filled symbols) and descending (open symbols) directions demonstrate the reversibility of the measurements.
In contrast to the steady shear viscosity, at low shear stress-amplitudes, the complex viscosity shows thinning followed by dynamic shear thickening evident at larger shear stress-amplitudes. The magnitude of the complex viscosity in the low stress regime (before the onset of shear thickening) is below that of the steady shear viscosity at comparable applied shear stresses and shear stress-amplitudes. This behavior is qualitatively similar to that reported previously for suspensions of spherical particles under oscillatory flow. McMullan and Wagner demonstrated through microstructure measurements that this decrease in the viscosity is the result of particle ordering facilitated by the oscillatory nature of the flow. It is not unreasonable to postulate that the decrease in the viscosity under oscillatory flow observed for these cubic particle suspensions is also due to particle ordering, although measurements of the microstructure under flow will be needed to confirm this. At large shear stresses and shear-stress amplitudes, the complex viscosity and steady shear viscosity are nearly coincident.

The transient flow behavior of these suspensions was investigated further in a series of peak hold experiments on the $\phi_{cubes} = 0.295$ suspension. In the first set of experiments, the flow was switched repeatedly between a steady shear peak hold at $\sigma = 0.1$ Pa and an oscillatory peak hold at $\sigma^* = 0.1$ Pa and $\omega = 1$ rad/s. In Figure 5, after 300 seconds of oscillation, a steady shear peak hold at $\sigma = 0.1$ was imposed (time = 0). From measurements on a Newtonian standard (see Appendix C) of a comparable viscosity, it was found that measurements of the viscosity under 0.66 seconds after imposition of the steady shear peak hold were affected by instrument artifacts. Thus, only measurements of the viscosity after 0.66 seconds from the imposition of the steady shear peak hold are shown for four replicate experiments. As seen from Figure 5, the viscosity reaches steady state on a relatively short timescale corresponding to 2 strain units. This suggests the increase in viscosity upon switching from oscillatory to steady shear is due to an ‘order-to-disorder’ transition as studied previously for spherical particle suspensions by Hoffman.
Figure 5. Viscosity measured for a $\phi_{\text{cubes}} = 0.295$ suspension after imposition (time = 0) of a steady shear peak hold at $\sigma = 0.1$ Pa as a function of time (left) and strain (right) for four replicate peak hold experiments. Preceding each of the steady shear peak holds was an oscillation for 300 s at $\sigma^* = 0.1$ Pa and $\omega = 1$ rad/s. The magnitude of the complex viscosity prior to the imposition of the steady shear peak holds is shown by the dashed line in the left figure (Note these are not actual data points; the line marks the magnitude of the complex viscosity during the 300 seconds of oscillation).

These steady shear peak holds are contrasted with the experiments depicted in Figure 6, where the imposition of the steady shear stress of $\sigma = 0.1$ Pa (again at time =0) was performed after the sample was left on the rheometer overnight to allow for particle sedimentation. This experiment was performed in both a cone and plate (40 mm, $2^0$, 62 µm truncation gap) and parallel plate (40 mm, 500 µm gap) tooling. As seen from Figure 6, the magnitude of the viscosity immediately after the imposition of steady shear is significantly below that of the previous experiment where the steady shear peak hold was imposed after 300 s of oscillation. In the steady shear peak holds at $\sigma = 0.1$ Pa after sedimentation, the viscosity never recovers its steady state value after 48 hours. Considering that the Shields number $\frac{\sigma}{\Delta \rho g D}$, where $\Delta \rho$ is the density mismatch between particles and solvent, $g$ is the gravitation constant, and $D$ is the characteristic particle length scale, at this shear stress is of order 1, it is likely that the relatively
equal competition between viscous and buoyant forces hinders any viscous resuspension\textsuperscript{61,62}. It is interesting to note the difference in the measured viscosity using a cone and plate tooling, which contains significant noise, and the parallel plate tooling, which has little variation in its value over a significant duration of time. The noise in the cone and plate data is anticipated to result from the fact that the local particle volume fraction under the truncated cone apex is higher than that outside of this region. In the parallel plate geometry, an even layer of sediment exists across the entire tooling and the particle volume fraction is uniform across the sample. Regardless of the tool geometry, the viscosity during the steady shear peak holds after sedimentation is obviously below that measured after a small amplitude oscillation is performed for a relatively short duration of time (Figure 5). Ultimately, this combined set of steady shear peak hold experiments after different initial conditions provides strong evidence that the decrease in the viscosity observed under oscillatory shear is a material property and not a result of any particle sedimentation.

Figure 6. Viscosity measured for a $\phi_{\text{cubes}} = 0.295$ suspension during a steady shear peak hold at $\sigma = 0.1$ Pa following overnight sedimentation performed in cone and plate (left) and parallel plate (right) geometries. For comparison, the magnitude of the steady shear viscosity before sedimentation is given in Figure 5.
The steady shear viscosity and complex viscosity measured at $\omega = 1$ rad/s as a function of the shear stress and shear stress-amplitude, respectively, are shown in Figure 7 for a wide range of particle volume fractions. Above a critical value of the shear stress, the suspensions exhibit reversible shear thickening that becomes more pronounced with increasing volume fraction. Shear thickening in concentrated colloidal dispersions of spherical particles is well-known to be a stress-controlled phenomenon\textsuperscript{9-11}, and the results here indicate that shear thickening in these suspensions of cubic particles is likewise stress-controlled.

Figure 7. Steady shear viscosity as a function of the applied shear stress (left) and complex viscosity measured at 1 rad/s as a function of the applied shear stress-amplitude (right). All measurements were reversible, but only sweeps in the ascending direction are shown (see Figure 4 for a demonstration of the reversibility).

As witnessed in Figure 4 for the $\phi_{\text{cubes}} = 0.295$ suspension, the magnitude of the complex viscosity and steady shear viscosity differ in the low shear regime. To gain a possible mechanistic insight into this behavior, the divergence of the complex viscosity and steady shear viscosity was studied as a function of the cubic particle volume fraction. Under steady shear, the viscosity is essentially Newtonian prior to shear thickening. However, under oscillatory shear, there is noticeable thinning of the complex viscosity prior to the onset of dynamic shear thickening. As such, for the sake of consistency, the steady and dynamic “low shear” viscosity will be taken as the value of the viscosity at the onset of steady or dynamic shear thickening (i.e., at the critical shear stress or critical shear stress-amplitude). The steady and dynamic low shear viscosity is plotted as a function of the cubic particle concentration in Figure 8.
A plethora of semi-empirical models exist for correlating the viscosity data for suspensions of spheres in Newtonian fluids. For a recent review see Faroughi and Huber. A common feature of many of these models is a power law divergence on approach to maximum packing with an exponent of -2. As we are probing the response of these suspensions at shear stresses well above the characteristic stress from Brownian motion, the viscosity is expected to be dominated by hydrodynamic interactions. The hydrodynamic theory of Brady predicts a divergence with a power law exponent of exactly -2 for the low shear viscosity of suspensions of spherical particles; however, we are unaware of any rigorous models suitable for cubic particles. Consequently, a broad range of models for suspensions of spheres were explored; however, none produced a satisfactory fit to the viscosity data for these cubic particles. To obtain a higher quality fit, the value of the power law exponent was permitted to be an additional adjustable parameter. The best fit to the data was obtained with a modified Eilers equation:

$$\eta_r = \left[ 1 + 1.5\phi \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{\phi_{\text{max}}}\right)^{-1}\right]^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where the maximum packing fraction, $\phi_{\text{max}}$, is the traditional adjustable fitting parameter, and $n$ is now taken to be an adjustable power law exponent. The best fit to the steady shear and
dynamic shear data was obtained with \( n = 3 \). There is presently no theoretical basis for a power law exponent of -3; it is an empirical result. Nevertheless, the fits obtained in Figure 8 are of sufficient quality to extract estimates of \( \phi_{\text{max}} \) under the different flow conditions.

Under steady shear, the viscosity diverges at a maximum packing fraction of 0.69. This value of \( \phi_{\text{max}} \) is slightly above random close packing for monodisperse spheres, \( \phi_{\text{RCP, spheres}} = 0.64 \), and below random close packing for monodisperse cubes, \( \phi_{\text{RCP, cubes}} = 0.78 \). Given that the cubes are polydisperse and that \( \phi_{\text{max}} \) generally rises with increasing polydispersity, the value of \( \phi_{\text{max}} \) obtained for the suspensions of cubic particles suggests that, under steady shear, the cubes carve out an effective volume that corresponds to an equivalent sphere. This could be expected from the rotation and tumbling of the cubes in the flow, although future studies will be needed to investigate cubic particle motion under steady shear. That the divergence of the suspension viscosity under steady shear is slightly above that for random close packing of spheres could possibly be attributed to particle polydispersity and shape imperfections.

Under oscillatory flow, the low shear viscosity is found to diverge at a maximum packing fraction very close to unity, although some caution is in order as the extrapolation to obtain the maximum packing fraction will depend on the model used and the last data point fit to the model is fairly removed from the predicted volume fraction of the divergence. Nonetheless, this observation provides additional support for the ordering hypothesis under small amplitude oscillatory shear postulated previously. This suggests the cubes are aligned into ordered structures resembling layers of close-packed cubes. Given that these particles are polydisperse and have shape imperfections, it is not anticipated that these particles could form a space-filling structure and the actual maximum packing fraction is likely to be less than unity. Nevertheless, the propensity to order into layers would not be unexpected based on the previous work with spherical particle suspensions\(^{59}\), which also show a tendency to order under large amplitude oscillatory flow. Measurements of the microstructure will be needed to confirm this behavior, but the results here suggest it may be possible to form tightly packed structures under oscillatory flow using cubic particles, which could have broad far-reaching applications.

Also included for comparison in Figure 8 are the recent measurements of Royer \textit{et al.}\(^{45}\) for suspensions of ‘superballs’. Such particles are intermediate between spheres and cubes with a three-dimensional shape in \((x, y, z)\) space described by the equation:

\[
x^m + y^m + z^m = a^m
\]

where \( m = 2 \) for spheres and \( m = \infty \) for cubes. The superballs considered in this study had a shape exponent of \( m = 2.85 \pm 0.15 \) and were of similar size to the particles considered in the present study. The authors used the Kreiger-Dougherty model to determine that the low shear viscosity under steady shear for their superball suspensions diverged at a maximum packing fraction of 0.68 \( \pm 0.07 \). This is remarkably close to the maximum packing fraction for the cubic particles of the present study. This suggests the cubic particles in this study and the superballs
have a similar packing behavior under steady shear. However, as seen in Figure 8, the low shear viscosity of superball suspensions under steady shear is less than that measured for the cubic particles of the present study, and the difference between the measurements becomes greater at higher packing fractions. Given that the cubic particles and superballs have a similar maximum packing fraction under steady shear, it must be deduced that the difference lies in the interactions between particles, which is reflected in the different values of the power law exponent. Royer et al.\textsuperscript{45} synthesized superball shells to minimize attractive dispersion forces. It may also be expected that the rheology is sensitive to the exact nature of the particle shape, which influences the velocity and pressure fields between particles. Superballs, by definition, lack the true facets and edges that are characteristic to the cubic particles here, and the shape exponent of the superballs was only slightly higher than that of spheres. Accordingly, the concentration dependence of the superball low shear viscosity is closer to observations for suspensions of spherical particles.

A similar analysis of the viscosity in the shear-thickened state was performed. The viscosity in the shear-thickened state was taken to be the maximum value attained before the onset of any shear thinning at the highest stresses. These values are plotted as a function of the particle volume fraction in Figure 9. The viscosity in the shear-thickened state is almost identical regardless of whether the flow is steady or dynamic, with some deviations evident at the highest packing fractions. His suggests a similar microstructure in the shear thickened state regardless of the degree of order present at lower shear stresses. The magnitude of the viscosity in the shear-thickened state for the cubic particle suspensions lies significantly above the measurements of Cwalina and Wagner\textsuperscript{8} for suspensions of spheres, which is shown by the model line that accurately describes this data. This difference increases at higher packing fractions. For example, at $\phi = 0.40$, the shear-thickened state viscosity for the cubic particle suspensions is an order of magnitude larger than that for suspensions of spherical particles. This finding is of significant practical interest as it suggests the magnitude of the viscosity in the shear-thickened state can be engineered and controlled through not just the packing fraction, but also the particle shape.
Figure 9. Steady shear viscosity (filled symbols) and complex viscosity (open symbols) measured in the shear-thickened state for suspensions of cubic particles. The solid line is the model fit to the measured viscosity of the shear-thickened state for suspensions of spherical particles from Cwalina and Wagner. The dashed line is the predicted value of the viscosity in the shear-thickened state for suspensions of cubic particles where the lubrication squeeze flow is modified from spheres to cubes (Equation 11). The half-filled circles are the viscosity in the shear-thickened state measured by Royer et al. for suspensions of superballs.

For a possible explanation of the differing concentration dependence of the viscosity in the shear-thickened state between suspensions of cubes and spheres, we consider the lubrication squeeze flow between two spherical particles and two cubic particles with equivalent radii/half-lengths as illustrated in Figure 10.
For particles moving along their lines of center at a relative velocity, \( V \), in a Newtonian fluid of viscosity, \( \eta_f \), the lubrication force between the spherical and cubic particles is given, respectively, as:

\[
F_{\text{spheres}} = \frac{6\pi \eta_f R^2}{h} \tag{5}
\]

\[
F_{\text{cubes}} = \frac{3\pi \eta_f R^4}{h^3} \tag{6}
\]

The ratio of the squeeze flow lubrication forces is:

\[
\frac{F_{\text{cubes}}}{F_{\text{spheres}}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{h}{R} \right)^{-2} \tag{7}
\]

A geometric model for the average separation distance between particle surfaces in suspension is given by \(^9,^{67} \):

\[
\frac{h}{R} = 2\left( \frac{\Phi_{\text{max}}}{\Phi} \right)^{1/3} - 1 \tag{8}
\]

Substitution of Equation 8 into Equation 7 yields:
For spheres and cubes with an equivalent characteristic particle half-width ($R$), the suspension viscosity should be expected to scale with the lubrication force, \( \eta_{\text{cubes}} \sim \frac{F_{\text{cubes}}}{F_{\text{spheres}}} \), such that:

\[
\frac{F_{\text{cubes}}}{F_{\text{spheres}}} = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{\phi_{\text{max}}}{\phi} \right)^{1/3} - 1
\]

Thus, the modified lubrication model for the viscosity in the shear-thickened state for suspensions of cubic particles is given as:

\[
\eta_{\text{cubes}} \sim \frac{\eta_{\text{spheres}}}{8} \left( \frac{\phi_{\text{max}}}{\phi} \right)^{1/3} - 1
\]

The value of \( \phi_{\text{max}} \) is taken to be 0.54 to be consistent with the previous modeling of Cwalina and Wagner. Using this lubrication hydrodynamics scaling, the predicted volume fraction dependence of the shear-thickened state viscosity for the cubic particle suspensions is compared to the data in Figure 9. To a first approximation, this scaling captures the concentration dependence of the shear-thickened state viscosity for the cubic particle suspensions. This scaling suggests that the observed shear thickening in the cubic particle suspensions can be attributed to a mechanism where lubrication hydrodynamic interactions contribute significantly to the stress.

Figure 9 also contains data of the shear-thickened state viscosity for suspensions of superballs from Royer et al. Clearly, the viscosity in the shear-thickened state for the superballs suspensions is less than that of the cubic particle suspensions in this study. It can been seen that the measurements of the shear-thickened viscosity for the superballs lie closer to the model prediction for suspensions of spherical particles. This is not entirely surprising given that the shape exponent of the superballs is close to that of spheres. These results demonstrate that the magnitude of the suspension viscosity in the shear-thickened state is very sensitive to particle shape—slight rounding of the facets can lead to a significant reduction of the shear-thickened viscosity that is more closely described using a model for spherical particle suspensions.

The shear-thickened state of these cubic particle suspensions is also characterized by measurable normal stress differences as seen in Figure 11. Similarly to Stokesian Dynamics simulation predictions and experimental measurements of dispersions of spherical colloids suspended in a Newtonian fluid, both the first and second normal stress differences, \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \), respectively, are measured to be negative in sign in the shear-thickened state. Furthermore, both normal stress differences are the same order of magnitude. However, in contrast to the measurements of suspensions of spherical colloids, the magnitude of the second normal stress difference is slightly less than the first normal stress difference at a given volume fraction for a
particular shear rate or shear stress in the shear-thickened state for the suspensions of cubic particles. In suspensions of spherical colloids, the normal stress differences at high shear rates arise as a consequence of anisotropy in the microstructure coupled to lubrication hydrodynamic interactions between particles\textsuperscript{15}. The measured negative normal stress differences in these suspensions of cubic particles support the hypothesis that lubrication hydrodynamic interactions between particles at high shear rates drive the observed shear thickening in this class of suspensions.
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Figure 11. First (top) and second (bottom) normal stress difference as a function of the shear rate (left) and shear stress (right) for selected volume fractions.

When plotted as a function of the shear rate on linear axes in Figure 12, the normal stress differences scale linearly with the shear rate in the shear-thickened state. This finding is similar to the scaling of the normal stress differences in the shear-thickened state predicted\textsuperscript{16} and measured\textsuperscript{8} for suspensions of spherical colloids in Newtonian fluids where hydrodynamic interactions form the dominant contribution to the stress. This linear scaling of the normal stress
The differences means the first and second normal stress difference coefficients for suspensions, $\Upsilon_1$ and $\Upsilon_2$, respectively, can be defined as follows:

$$\Upsilon_1 = \frac{-N_1}{\gamma \eta_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$\Upsilon_2 = \frac{-N_2}{\gamma \eta_f}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The value of the normal stress difference coefficients for the suspensions of cubic particles considered in this work as a function of the particle volume fraction are compared in Figure 13 with the experimental measurements of Cwalina and Wagner\textsuperscript{8} for suspensions of spherical colloids.

Figure 12. First (filled symbols) and second (open symbols) normal stress differences in the shear-thickened state plotted as a function of the shear rate on linear axes.

$$\phi_{cubes} = 0.205$$

$$\phi_{cubes} = 0.295$$

$$\phi_{cubes} = 0.388$$
Figure 13. First (filled symbols) and second (open symbols) normal stress difference coefficients for suspensions in the shear-thickened state as a function of the particle volume for the suspensions of cubic particles in a Newtonian fluid considered in the present work (squares) and those measured previously for spherical colloids in a Newtonian fluid by Cwalina and Wagner. Error bars are smaller than data points.

From Figure 13, it is evident that, as a function of particle volume fraction, the normal stress difference coefficients for the suspensions of cubic particles in a Newtonian fluid lie above those for dispersions of spherical colloids in a Newtonian fluid. At $\phi \approx 0.30$, there is an order of magnitude difference between the normal stress difference coefficients, which grows to nearly two orders of magnitude at $\phi \approx 0.40$.

For dispersions of spherical colloids, Cwalina and Wagner demonstrated that the semi-empirical model of Morris and Boulay captured the scaling of the normal stress difference coefficients in the shear-thickened state as a function of the proximity to maximum packing, $\phi/\phi_{\text{max}}$:

$$Y_n = K_n \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{\text{max}}}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{\phi_{\text{max}}}\right)^{-2}$$ (14)
where $n = 1$ or 2 and $K_n$ is a constant. Given the success of the lubrication modification (Equation 9) in describing the concentration dependence of the viscosity in the shear-thickened state for the suspensions of cubic particles, we apply the correction for enhanced lubrication hydrodynamic interactions to Equation 14 to yield the following semi-empirical model for the normal stress difference coefficients for suspensions of cubic particles:

$$
\gamma_n = K_n \left( \frac{\phi}{\phi_{max}} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\phi}{\phi_{max}} \right)^{-2} \left[ \left( \frac{\phi_{max}}{\phi} \right)^{1/3} - 1 \right]^{-2}
$$

(15)

Note that in this form the prefactor of $\frac{1}{8}$ from the lubrication modification has been subsumed in with the constant $K_n$. The fit of the limited normal stress difference coefficient data for the cubic particle suspensions to Equation 15 is shown in Figure 14. The value of $\phi_{max}$ was taken to be 0.54 to be consistent with the aforementioned modeling of the viscosity in the shear-thickened state for the cubic particle suspensions. From these fits, the values of $K_1$ and $K_2$ for the suspensions of cubic particles using this modified lubrication model are 0.34 and 0.28, respectively.
Figure 14. First (closed symbols) and second (open symbols) normal stress difference coefficients for suspensions of cubic particles in the shear-thickened state as a function of the proximity to maximum packing. The solid line is a semi-empirical model of the first normal stress difference coefficient for dispersions of spherical colloids in the shear-thickened state from Morris and Boulay\textsuperscript{17} with the model prefactor coefficient reported by Cwalina and Wagner\textsuperscript{8} (Equation 14). The dashed line is the model fit to the first normal stress difference coefficient data for suspensions of cubic particles using the modified lubrication form (Equation 15). The model fits of the second normal stress difference coefficient data for suspensions of spheres and cubes are not shown as they both differ from their respective first normal stress difference coefficient models only by small values of the prefactor constants.

We close the discussion by placing the present work within the context of shear thickening in suspensions at low particle Reynolds number. Continuous shear thickening in colloidal dispersions has been shown to be a consequence of shear-induced concentration fluctuations driven by the divergence of lubrication hydrodynamic interactions. This mechanism, termed ‘hydroclustering’, is supported by rheo-optical measurements\textsuperscript{12, 69}, neutron scattering experiments\textsuperscript{13-15, 70-72}, Stokesian Dynamics simulations\textsuperscript{68, 73, 74}, Dissipative Particle Dynamics simulations\textsuperscript{47}, stress jump techniques\textsuperscript{75}, and direct confocal microscopy\textsuperscript{76}. An important signature of this mechanism is a negative first normal stress difference in the shear-thickened state, which has been confirmed and quantified for dispersions of colloidal spheres\textsuperscript{8, 15}. 

\begin{figure}[!ht]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure14}
\caption{First (closed symbols) and second (open symbols) normal stress difference coefficients for suspensions of cubic particles in the shear-thickened state as a function of the proximity to maximum packing.}
\end{figure}
Conversely, granular flows under confinement exhibit shear thickening resulting from frictional contacts due to frustrated dilatancy. This has been observed experimentally for suspensions of non-Brownian particles and modeled by simulations. For suspensions, importantly, the frictional contribution is only significant for systems with strong lubrication hydrodynamic interactions, high packing fractions, and large friction coefficients. As frictional contacts are not symmetric with respect to the direction of the normal force acting between particles, they naturally lead to a positive first normal stress difference, in stark contrast to the behavior dominated by lubrication hydrodynamics. Given the particle morphology shown in Figure 1 for our cubic particles, and the lack of any stabilizing surfactant or polymer on the aluminosilicate surface, one might anticipate that these particles would be exceptionally ‘rough’ and that concentrated suspensions of these cubes would show evidence of particle roughness leading to a positive contribution to the first normal stress difference. In contrast, we find that the normal stress differences are even more negative than for dispersions of spherical particles. Furthermore, we can quantitatively account for the differences in the material functions as compared to dispersions of spherical particles by accounting for the enhanced lubrication stresses acting between the flat surfaces of cubic particles. Thus, despite the significant roughness of these aluminosilicate cubic particles and lack of surface modifications, there is no evidence of particle friction contributing to the rheology. Additional research into the novel rheological properties of suspensions of cubic particles is warranted to determine how they flow starting from an even more glassy state.

4. Conclusions

This work expands our understanding of the flow behavior of suspensions of cubic particles in a Newtonian fluid at low particle Reynolds number by reporting the steady and dynamic shear viscosity and steady first and second normal stress difference coefficients over a broad range of particle concentrations and applied stresses. At low concentrations, the Einstein coefficient is found to be slightly larger than predictions for perfect cubes, and the Huggins coefficient, for which there is no theory at present, is large enough to suggest the existence of weak interactions, consistent with expectations.

At higher concentrations, pseudo-Newtonian rheology is observed at the lowest shear stresses probed, which are above the characteristic stress for Brownian motion. Importantly, the volume fraction dependence of the low shear viscosity is observed to diverge with a maximum packing fraction closer to that expected for spherical particles, but with a stronger power law dependence. The magnitude of the low shear viscosity is smaller under oscillatory shear than steady shear, and shear thinning is evident under oscillatory flow. Comparison with literature reports for spherical particle dispersions indicates that this behavior is indicative of particle ordering. This is further supported by modeling of the volume fraction dependence of
suspension viscosity, which indicates that oscillatory shear flow orders particles such that they can fill space.

At high shear stresses, these concentrated suspensions exhibit strong shear thickening, both under steady and oscillatory shear flow. The magnitude of the viscosity in the shear-thickened was found to be well-described by a modification to an existing model for suspensions of spheres that takes into account the stronger lubrication forces between flat cubic particle surfaces compared to the curved surfaces inherent to spherical particles. Furthermore, negative normal stress differences are measured in the shear-thickened state, but in contrast to spherical particle suspensions, the magnitude of the second normal stress difference is found to be slightly less than that of the first normal difference. The normal stress difference coefficients are also well-modeled when the stronger lubrication forces between facets of cubic particles are accounted for. These observations strongly support lubrication hydrodynamics and hydrocluster formation as the mechanism of shear thickening in these suspensions of cubic particles. These measurements and the semi-empirical models for the material properties in the shear-thickened state provide novel responses for use in technologies that utilize the field-responsive nature of shear thickening fluids.\textsuperscript{80, 81} The rheological measurements and analysis also motivate a need to measure the microstructure in these cubic particle suspensions to connect the suspension stress to the flow-induced microstructure under both steady and dynamic shear flow.
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**Appendices**

*Appendix A: Validation of the Normal Force Inertia Correction*

Inertia is known to create a negative contribution to the measured axial thrust at high shear rates. The correction of Turian\textsuperscript{46} was used to account for the effect of inertia on the measured axial thrust:

\[(F_Z)_{\text{inertia}} = -0.075 \rho \Omega^2 R^4\quad (A.1)\]

where \((F_Z)_{\text{inertia}}\) is the contribution to the axial thrust from inertia, \(\rho\) is the fluid density, \(\Omega\) is the angular velocity, and \(R\) is the tool radius. In Figure A.1, experimental axial thrust data from a 40 mm 2\textdegree cone and plate tooling is reported for the Newtonian PEG-200 solvent over the range of
shear rates probed in this study. Equation A.1 is also overlaid, thus validating it as an appropriate correction for the contribution of inertia to the measured axial thrust.

![Figure A.1](image)

Figure A.1. Measured axial thrust as a function of the applied shear rate for a Newtonian PEG-200 solvent using a 40 mm 2° cone and plate tooling. The solid line is the expected contribution to the axial thrust from inertia given by Equation A.1.

Appendix B: Elastohydrodynamic Scaling at High Stresses

As illustrated in Figure 4, a shear thinning regime follows the shear-thickened state at higher applied shear stresses. Here, a scaling argument for the shear thinning behavior observed at the highest shear stresses probed in this study is proposed. The elastohydrodynamic model originally proposed by Meeker\textsuperscript{82, 83} for single particle deformation near a wall in pastes was extended to colloidal dispersion rheology by Kalman\textsuperscript{84}. In this model, the lubrication stresses that give rise to hydrocluster formation are thought to become large enough at high stresses to cause elastic (but not inelastic) deformation of the particle surface. The detailed analysis for the elastohydrodynamic scaling for particles in suspension can be found in Kalman\textsuperscript{84}. Ultimately, the limiting value of the viscosity in this shear thinning regime is modelled as:

\[
\eta = C \dot{\gamma}^{-1/2} (\eta_f G_o)^{1/2}
\]  

(B.1)
where $G_o$ is the particle modulus and $C$ is a constant that accounts for the on-average proportion of particles in suspension that are in a hydrocluster. The distinguishing feature of this model is the power law scaling of the viscosity with $\gamma^{-1/2}$.

In Figure B.1, the steady shear viscosity is plotted as a function of the applied shear rate. The open and closed symbols represent steady flow sweeps performed in opposite directions. This indicates the shear thinning at high shear stresses is reversible and not a consequence of sample ejection, edge fracture, or irreversible particle deformation. The expected elastohydrodynamic power law scaling is shown for the viscosity. The ability of this scaling to capture the behavior of the viscosity at high shear rates suggests elastic deformation of the particles is occurring and is due to the strong lubrication stresses between particle surfaces.

Figure B.1 Predicted elastohydrodynamic scaling (dashed lines) for the relative viscosity as a function of the shear rate for concentrated suspensions of cubic particles.
Appendix C: Steady Shear Peak Holds

In Figure 5, the time-dependent viscosity of a $\phi_{\text{cubes}} = 0.295$ suspension is reported after the imposition of a steady shear peak hold at $\sigma = 0.1$ Pa starting from an alternance state. This identical experiment was performed on a Newtonian viscosity standard (Cannon) of similar viscosity to the suspension in Figure 5 on the same instrument (AR-2000) and the results are reported in Figure C.1. As shown, there is a lag of approximately 0.66 seconds after the imposition of the steady shear peak hold (time = 0) before the viscosity reaches its accepted Newtonian value. Thus, the data reported in Figure 5 is only for times after 0.66 seconds where it can be assured that instrument artifacts are not contributing to the measured viscosity.

Figure C.1 Steady shear peak hold performed at $\sigma = 0.1$ Pa on a Newtonian viscosity standard (Cannon) following 300 seconds of oscillation at $\sigma^* = 0.1$ Pa and $\omega = 1$ rad/s. Two replicate experiments were performed to establish the lag time of the instrument.
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