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#### Abstract

Let $U_{\beta}$ be the special Buekenhout-Metz unital in $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$, formed by a union of $q$ conics, where $q=p^{e}$ is an odd prime power. It can be shown that the dimension of the binary code of the corresponding unital design $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$ is less than or equal to $q^{3}+1-q$. Baker and Wantz conjectured that equality holds. We prove that the aforementioned dimension is greater than or equal to $q^{3}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{q^{2}}{p}$.
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## 1. Introduction

A unital is a $2-\left(m^{3}+1, m+1,1\right)$ design, where $m \geq 2$. All known unitals with parameters $\left(m^{3}+1, m+1,1\right)$ have $m$ equal to a prime power, except for one example with $m=6$ constructed by Mathon [9], and independently by Bagchi and Bagchi [3]. In this note, we will only consider unitals embedded in $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$, i.e., unitals coming from a set of $q^{3}+1$ points of $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ which meets every line of $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ in either 1 or $q+1$ points. (Sometimes, a point set of size $q^{3}+1$ of $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ with the above line intersection properties is called a unital, too.) A classical example of such unitals is the Hermitian unital $U=(\mathcal{P}, \mathscr{B})$, where $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are the set of absolute points and the set of non-absolute lines of a unitary polarity of $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$, respectively.

The Hermitian unital is a special example of a large class of unitals embedded in $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$, called the Buekenhout-Metz unitals. We refer the reader to [5] for a survey of results on these unitals. A subclass of the Buekenhout-Metz unitals which received some attention can be defined as follows.

Let $q=p^{e}$ be an odd prime power, where $e \geq 1$, let $\beta$ be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, and for $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ let $C_{r}=$ $\left\{\left(1, y, \beta y^{2}+r\right) \mid y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right\} \cup\{(0,0,1)\}$. We define

$$
U_{\beta}=\underset{r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}{\cup} C_{r}
$$

Note that each $C_{r}$ is a conic in $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$, and any two distinct $C_{r}$ have only the point $P_{\infty}=(0,0,1)$ in common. Hence $\left|U_{\beta}\right|=q^{3}+1$. It can be shown that every line of $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ meets $U_{\beta}$ in either 1 or $q+1$ points (see [1,7]). One immediately obtains a unital (design) $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$ from $U_{\beta}$ : The points of $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$ are the points of $U_{\beta}$, and the blocks of $U_{\beta}$ are the intersections of the secant lines with $U_{\beta}$. In this note, we are interested in the binary code $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\beta}\right)$ of this design, i.e., the $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-subspace spanned by the characteristic vectors of the blocks of $U_{\beta}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{U_{\beta}}$.

[^0]The following proposition and its proof are due to Baker and Wantz [6,10]. To state the proposition, we use $v^{S}$ to denote the characteristic vector of a subset $S$ in $U_{\beta}$.

Proposition 1.1 (Baker and Wantz). The vectors $v^{C_{r}}, r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, form a linearly independent set of vectors in $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\beta}\right)^{\perp}$.
Proof. A binary vector $v$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\beta}\right)^{\perp}$, if and only if, each block of the design $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$ meets the support of $v$ in an even number of points. If a block of $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$ goes through $P_{\infty}$, then it meets every $C_{r}$ in two points; if a block of $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$ does not go through $P_{\infty}$, then it meets every $C_{r}$ in either 0 or 2 points. Hence $v^{C_{r}} \in \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\perp}$, for every $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. The $q$ conics $C_{r}$ have only the point $P_{\infty}$ in common. Thus, $v^{C_{r}}, r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, are linearly independent. The proof is complete.

An immediate corollary of Proposition 1.1 is that $\operatorname{dimC}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\perp} \geq q$. Hence $\operatorname{dime}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right) \leq q^{3}+1-q$. Baker and Wantz [ 6,10 ] made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2 (Baker and Wantz). The 2-rank of $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$ is $q^{3}+1-q$. That is, $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\beta}\right)=q^{3}+1-q$.
Wantz [10] verified Conjecture 1.2 in the cases where $q=3,5,7$, and 9 by using a computer and MAGMA [4]. Gary Ebert [6] popularized the above conjecture of Baker and Wantz in a talk in Oberwolfach in 2001. See also [11] for a description of the above conjecture. Of course, the conjecture is equivalent to saying that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\perp}=q$. So it suffices to show that $\left\{v^{C_{r}} \mid r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$ spans $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\perp}$. That is, we need to show that if $S \subset U_{\beta}$ and $S$ meets every block of $U_{\beta}$ in an even number of points, then $S$ is a union of some $C_{r}$ 's, or a union of some $C_{r}$ 's with $P_{\infty}$ deleted. We have not been able to prove this equivalent version of the conjecture. What we could prove is a lower bound on $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$ as stated in the abstract. The main idea in our proofs is to realize a shortened code of $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$ as an ideal in a certain group algebra of the elementary abelian $p$-group of order $q^{3}$. We hope that the current note will stimulate further research on this conjecture.

## 2. A lower bound on the dimension of $\mathscr{e}_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{\beta}\right)$

We first consider the automorphisms of $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$. Let

$$
G=\left\{\theta \in \operatorname{PGL}\left(3, q^{2}\right) \mid \theta\left(U_{\beta}\right)=U_{\beta}\right\}
$$

be the linear collineation group of $\operatorname{PG}\left(2, q^{2}\right)$ fixing $U_{\beta}$ as a set. It was shown by Baker and Ebert [2] that

$$
G=T \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2(q-1)},
$$

where $T$ is an elementary abelian group of order $q^{3}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{2(q-1)}$ is a cyclic group of order $2(q-1)$. The group $G$ certainly is also an automorphism group of the design $U_{\beta}$ since any element of $G$ maps a secant line of $U_{\beta}$ to a secant line of $U_{\beta}$. In fact, the group $T$ above acts regularly on $U_{\beta} \backslash\left\{P_{\infty}\right\}$. Explicitly,

$$
T=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & t & \beta t^{2} \\
0 & 1 & 2 \beta t \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & r \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}},+\right) \times\left(\mathbb{F}_{q},+\right)
$$

In the rest of the paper, we will use $T(t, r), t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, to denote the element

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & t & \beta t^{2} \\
0 & 1 & 2 \beta t \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & r \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

of $T$.
The coordinates of the code $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$ are labeled by the points in $U_{\beta}$. Deleting the coordinate labeled by $P_{\infty}$ from all codewords of $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$, we get a shortened (or punctured) code $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}$, which has the same dimension over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ as $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$ since $v^{\left\{P_{\infty}\right\}} \notin \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$. Since $T$ acts regularly on $U_{\beta} \backslash\left\{P_{\infty}\right\}$, we may identify the coordinates of $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}$ with the elements of $T$. Under this identification, the point $\left(1, t, \beta t^{2}+r\right)$ of $U_{\beta}$ correspond to the group element $T(t, r)$ since

$$
(1,0,0) \cdot T(t, r)=\left(1, t, \beta t^{2}+r\right)
$$

After the above identification, the code $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}$ becomes an ideal of the group algebra $\mathbb{F}_{2}[T]$. Now we can use the characters of $T$ to help compute the dimension of $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}$.

First of all, we need to extend the field over which the code $\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$ is defined. Let $K=\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$, where $m=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(2)$ is the order of 2 modulo $p$ (i.e., $m$ is the smallest positive integer such that $2^{m} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ ). So $K$ contains a primitive $p$ th root of unity $\xi_{p}$. We consider the code $\mathcal{C}_{K}\left(U_{\beta}\right)$ and puncture it at $P_{\infty}$ to get $\mathcal{C}_{K}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}$, which will be denoted by $M$ for simplicity of notation. The code $M$ is an ideal of the group algebra $K[T]$, and

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{K}(M)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}\right)
$$

Therefore, Conjecture 1.2 is equivalent to the statement that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{K}(M)=q^{3}+1-q
$$

Since $M$ is an ideal of $K[T]$, and $T$ is abelian, it is well known [8, p. 277] that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{K}(M)=\left|\left\{\chi \in \hat{T} \mid M e_{\chi} \neq 0\right\}\right|
$$

where $\hat{T}$ is the group of characters $\chi: T \rightarrow K^{*}$ of $T$, and

$$
e_{\chi}=\frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{g \in T} \chi\left(g^{-1}\right) g
$$

are primitive idempotents of $K[T]$. We also mention that for any $h \in T$ and any $\chi \in \hat{T}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \cdot e_{\chi}=\chi(h) e_{\chi} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T \cong\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}},+\right) \times\left(\mathbb{F}_{q},+\right)$, every character $\chi$ of $T$ can be written as $\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{b}\right): T \rightarrow K^{*}$, where $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$,

$$
\psi_{a}: x \mapsto \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}^{(a x)}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{b}: y \mapsto \xi_{p}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{q / p}(b y)}, \quad y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}
$$

Here $\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}$ (resp. $\operatorname{Tr}_{q / p}$ ) is the trace from $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ ) to $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. (We note in passing that $\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}(a / 2)=\operatorname{Tr}_{q / p}(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, a fact which will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.4.) Hence we need to count the number of pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that

$$
M e_{\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{b}\right)} \neq 0
$$

To this end, we need to write down the blocks of the unital design $U_{\beta}$ more explicitly.
We first recall some properties of $U_{\beta}$, which will be used to describe the blocks of $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$. The proofs of these properties can be found in [1,2,7].

- Among the $q^{2}+1$ lines through $P_{\infty}, q^{2}$ of them are secant to $U_{\beta}$, and one is tangent to $U_{\beta}$. The secant lines through $P_{\infty}$ are $[t, 1,0]$, where $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, and the unique tangent line through $P_{\infty}$ is $[1,0,0]$.
- A secant line to $U_{\beta}$, not through $P_{\infty}$ must pass through $(0,1, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. Moreover, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, there are $q^{2}-q$ secant line through $(0,1, \alpha)$.
- The line $[t,-\alpha, 1], t, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, through $(0,1, \alpha)$ is secant to $U_{\beta}$ if and only if $t \notin \mathbb{F}_{q}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4 \beta}$. (This can be seen as follows: The line $[t,-\alpha, 1]$ is tangent to $U_{\beta}$ if and only if it is tangent to some conic $C_{r}, r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, which in turn is equivalent to $\alpha^{2}-4 \beta(t+r)=0$. The last condition is simply saying that $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4 \beta}$.)
The unital design $U_{\beta}$ has a total of $q^{2}\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)$ blocks, which fall into two types. The type I blocks are the intersections of the $q^{2}$ secant lines through $P_{\infty}$ with $U_{\beta}$. These are

$$
U_{\beta} \cap[t, 1,0]=\left\{\left(1,-t, \beta t^{2}+r\right) \mid r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} \cup\left\{P_{\infty}\right\}
$$

where $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. We may identify $\left(U_{\beta} \cap[t, 1,0]\right) \backslash\left\{P_{\infty}\right\}$ with the group ring element

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t, \infty}:=\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T(-t, r) \in K[T] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The type II blocks are the intersections of the secant lines through $(0,1, \alpha)$ with $U_{\beta}$, with $q^{2}-q$ of them for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. These blocks are

$$
U_{\beta} \cap[t,-\alpha, 1]=\left\{\left(1, y, \beta y^{2}+r\right) \mid r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, t-\alpha y+\beta y^{2}+r=0\right\}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \backslash\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4 \beta}\right)$. We may identify the above block with the group ring element

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t, \alpha}:=\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, r=-t+\alpha y-\beta y^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T(y, r) \in K[T] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have a complete description of the blocks of the unital design $\mathcal{U}_{\beta}$.
Lemma 2.1. With the above notation, $\operatorname{Me}_{\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{0}\right)} \neq 0$, for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$.

Proof. We will show that $B_{t, \infty} \cdot e_{\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{0}\right)} \neq 0$, where $B_{t, \infty}$ is defined in (2.2). By (2.1), we have $h \cdot e_{\chi}=\chi(h) e_{\chi}$ for any $h \in T$ and any $\chi \in \hat{T}$. So we need to show that $\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{0}\right)\left(B_{t, \infty}\right) \neq 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{0}\right)\left(B_{t, \infty}\right) & =\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \psi_{a}(-t) \lambda_{0}(r) \\
& =\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \psi_{a}(-t) \\
& =q \cdot \psi_{a}(-t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $q$ is odd, and $\psi_{a}(-t)$ is a root of unity in $K$, we see that $\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{0}\right)\left(B_{t, \infty}\right) \neq 0$. The proof is complete.
Lemma 2.2. With the above notation, $\operatorname{Me}_{\left(\psi_{0}, \lambda_{b}\right)}=0$, for all nonzero $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Proof. The ideal $M$ is generated by two types of elements $B_{t, \infty}$ and $B_{t, \alpha}$, which correspond to the two types of blocks of $U_{\beta}$. We will show that the character $\left(\psi_{0}, \lambda_{b}\right), b \neq 0$, is zero on both types of generating elements.

For any type I element $B_{t, \infty}, t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, in (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\psi_{0}, \lambda_{b}\right)\left(B_{t, \infty}\right) & =\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \psi_{0}(-t) \lambda_{b}(r) \\
& =\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \lambda_{b}(r) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $b$ is nonzero.
For any type II element $B_{t, \alpha}$ in (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\psi_{0}, \lambda_{b}\right)\left(B_{t, \alpha}\right) & =\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, r=-\left(\beta y^{2}-\alpha y+t\right)} \psi_{0}(y) \lambda_{b}(r) \\
& =\sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, r=-\left(\beta y^{2}-\alpha y+t\right)} \lambda_{b}(r) \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since two distinct $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ give rise to the same $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. The proof is complete.
By the above two lemmas, we see that Conjecture 1.2 is equivalent to
Conjecture 2.3. For nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ and nonzero $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, one has

$$
M e_{\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{b}\right)} \neq 0
$$

Up to now we have only been able to prove some partial results on this latter conjecture.
Theorem 2.4. Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{*}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. If $\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2 b \beta}\right) \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{Me}_{\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{b}\right)} \neq 0$.
Proof. Let $t, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ with $\Delta:=t-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4 \beta} \notin \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then $[t,-\alpha, 1]$ is a secant line to $U_{\beta}$, and

$$
U_{\beta} \cap[t,-\alpha, 1]=\left\{\left(1, y, \beta y^{2}+r\right) \mid y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, r=-t+\alpha y-\beta y^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}
$$

This set can be identified with the group ring element

$$
B_{t, \alpha}=\sum_{y \in A_{t, \alpha}} T\left(y,-t+\alpha y-\beta y^{2}\right) \in K[T],
$$

where $A_{t, \alpha}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \mid t-\alpha y+\beta y^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$.
Now let $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{*}$ such that $\mu^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ (explicitly, $\mu \in\left\langle\beta^{\frac{q+1}{2}}\right\rangle$, the subgroup of order $2(q-1)$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{*}$ ). Then $\left[t \mu^{2},-\alpha \mu, 1\right]$ is also a secant line to $U_{\beta}$, and

$$
U_{\beta} \cap\left[t \mu^{2},-\alpha \mu, 1\right]=\left\{\left(1, z, \beta z^{2}+r\right) \mid z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}, r=-t \mu^{2}+\alpha \mu z-\beta z^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}
$$

This set can be identified with the group ring element

$$
B_{t \mu^{2}, \alpha \mu}=\sum_{y \in A_{t, \alpha}} T\left(\mu y,-\mu^{2}\left(t-\alpha y+\beta y^{2}\right)\right) \in K[T]
$$

Since $\Delta \notin \mathbb{F}_{q}$, the set $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}-\Delta\right)$ contains $\frac{q+1}{2}$ nonsquares of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, say $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{\frac{q+1}{2}}$ (see Lemma 5.2 in [7]). Now we can write down the elements of $A_{t, \alpha}$ explicitly. Note that $t-\alpha y+\beta y^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, if and only if, $\beta\left(y-\frac{\alpha}{2 \beta}\right)^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}-\Delta$, which in turn is equivalent to $\beta\left(y-\frac{\alpha}{2 \beta}\right)^{2}=n_{i}$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq(q+1) / 2$. Therefore, we have $y \in A_{t, \alpha}$ if and only if $y=\frac{\alpha}{2 \beta} \pm \sqrt{\beta^{-1} n_{i}}$, $1 \leq i \leq(q+1) / 2$. It follows that

$$
B_{t \mu^{2}, \alpha \mu}=\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}} T\left(\frac{\alpha \mu}{2 \beta} \pm \sqrt{\beta^{-1} n_{i} \mu^{2}},-\mu^{2}\left(n_{i}+\Delta\right)\right)
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{b}\right)\left(B_{t \mu^{2}, \alpha \mu}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}\left(\xi_{p} \operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(\frac{a \alpha \mu}{2 \beta}+a \sqrt{\beta^{-1} n_{i} \mu^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(\frac{a \alpha \mu}{2 \beta}-a \sqrt{\beta^{-1} n_{i} \mu^{2}}\right)}\right) \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q / p}\left(-b \mu^{2}\left(n_{i}+\Delta\right)\right)} \\
& =\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(\frac{a \alpha \mu}{2 \beta}-\frac{b \Delta \mu^{2}}{2}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}\left(\xi_{p}^{\left.\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p^{\prime}}^{\left(a \sqrt{\beta^{-1} n_{i} \mu^{2}}\right)}+\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-a \sqrt{\beta^{-1} n_{i} \mu^{2}}\right)}\right) \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-\frac{b n_{i} \mu^{2}}{2}\right)} .} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
S_{t \mu^{2},-\alpha \mu}:=\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{q+1}{2}}\left(\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(a \sqrt{\beta^{-1} n_{i} \mu^{2}}\right)}+\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-a \sqrt{\beta^{-1} n_{i} \mu^{2}}\right)}\right) \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-\frac{b n_{i} \mu^{2}}{2}\right)}
$$

Let $R$ be a complete set of coset representatives of the subgroup $\{1,-1\}$ in $\left\langle\beta^{\frac{q+1}{2}}\right\rangle($ so $|R|=(q-1))$. We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mu \in R} S_{t \mu^{2},-\alpha \mu} \neq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.3), we immediately see that there exists some $\mu \in R$ such that ( $\left.\psi_{a}, \lambda_{b}\right)\left(B_{t \mu^{2}, \alpha \mu}\right) \neq 0$, which proves the conclusion of the theorem.

First we claim that as $\mu$ runs through $R$ and $i$ runs through $1,2, \ldots, \frac{q+1}{2}, n_{i} \mu^{2}$ run through the set $N$ of nonsquares of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{*}$. The claim can be proved as follows. Clearly, each $n_{i} \mu^{2}$ is a nonsquare of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}^{*}$. It suffices to show that $n_{i} \mu^{2}, 1 \leq i \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$ and $\mu \in R$, are all distinct. Assume that $n_{i} \mu^{2}=n_{j} \lambda^{2}$, for some $1 \leq i, j \leq \frac{q+1}{2}$, and some $\mu, \lambda \in R$. Since $n_{i}, n_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}-\Delta$, we set $n_{i}=x-\Delta$ and $n_{j}=y-\Delta$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. We have

$$
\mu^{2} x-\mu^{2} \Delta=\lambda^{2} y-\lambda^{2} \Delta
$$

Noting that $\mu^{2}, \lambda^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\Delta \notin \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we see that $\mu^{2}=\lambda^{2}$. Since $\mu, \lambda \in R$, we must have $\mu=\lambda$, from which we deduce $n_{i}=n_{j}$. The claim is proved.

For convenience, we will use $S$ to denote the set of nonzero squares of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mu \in R} S_{t \mu^{2},-\alpha \mu}=\sum_{x \in N}\left(\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p^{(a)}}\left(\sqrt{\beta^{-1} x}\right)}+\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-a \sqrt{\beta^{-1} x}\right)}\right) \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-\frac{b x}{2}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{y \in S}\left(\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}^{(a \sqrt{y})}}+\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}(-a \sqrt{y})}\right) \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{\left.q^{2} / p^{( }\right)}\left(\frac{-b \beta y}{2}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{*}}^{*}} \xi_{p}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}^{\left(a z-\frac{b \beta z^{2}}{2}\right)}} \\
& =\sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(a z-\frac{b \beta z^{2}}{2}\right)}-1 \\
& =\xi_{p}^{\left.\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p} \frac{\left(a^{2}\right)}{2 b \beta}\right)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-\frac{b \beta}{2} x^{2}\right)}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $p$ is odd and $\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-\frac{b \beta}{2} x^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-\frac{b \beta}{2}(-x)^{2}\right)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. As $\xi_{p} \in K$ and $K$ has characteristic 2 , we have

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}} \xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(-\frac{b \beta}{2} x^{2}\right)}=1
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{\mu \in R} S_{t \mu^{2},-\alpha \mu}=\xi_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p^{\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2 b \beta}\right)}}-1 . . . . . . . .}
$$

Therefore, if $\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2 b \beta}\right) \neq 0$, then $\sum_{\mu \in R} S_{t \mu^{2},-\alpha \mu} \neq 0$. The proof is complete.
An immediate corollary is the following.
Corollary 2.5. $\operatorname{dim} C_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right) \geq q^{3}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{q^{2}}{p}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have $q^{2}$ characters $\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{0}\right), a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, of $T$ such that $M e_{\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{0}\right)} \neq 0$.
Next, for each $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, the number of $a$ 's such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{q^{2} / p}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2 b \beta}\right) \neq 0$ is $\left(q^{2}-p^{2 e-1}\right)=\left(q^{2}-q^{2} / p\right)$. So Theorem 2.4 produces $(q-1)\left(q^{2}-q^{2} / p\right)$ characters $\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{b}\right)$ of $T$, such that $\operatorname{Me}_{\left(\psi_{a}, \lambda_{b}\right)} \neq 0$.

Therefore, $\operatorname{dim} C_{2}\left(U_{\beta}\right) \geq q^{2}+(q-1)\left(q^{2}-q^{2} / p\right)=q^{3}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+\frac{q^{2}}{p}$. The proof is complete.
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