Some Algebraic Constructions of Dense Graphs of Large Girth and of Large Size

FELIX LAZEBNIK AND VASILIY A. USTIMENKO

ABSTRACT. For any prime power $q \geq 3$, we consider two infinite series of bipartite q–regular edge–transitive graphs of orders $2q^3$ and $2q^5$ which are induced subgraphs of regular generalized 4–gon and 6–gon, respectively. We compare these two series with two families of graphs, $H_3(p)$ and $H_5(p)$, p is a prime, constructed recently by Wenger ([26]), which are new examples of extremal graphs without 6– and 10–cycles respectively. We prove that the first series contains the family $H_3(p)$ for $q = p \geq 3$. Then we show that no member of the second family $H_5(p)$ is a subgraph of a generalized 6–gon. Then, for infinitely many values of q, we construct a new infinite series of bipartite q–regular edge–transitive graphs of order $2q^5$ and girth 10. Finally, for any prime power $q \geq 3$, we construct a new infinite series of bipartite q–regular edge–transitive graphs of order $2q^9$ and girth $g \geq 14$. Our constructions were motivated by some results on embeddings of Chevalley group geometries in the corresponding Lie algebras and a construction of a blow–up for an incident system and a graph.

Introduction

The missing definitions of graph–theoretical concepts which appear in this paper can be found in [6]. All graphs we consider are simple, i.e. undirected without loops and multiple edges. Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the set of vertices and the set of edges of G, respectively. $|V(G)|$ is called the *order* of G, and $|E(G)|$ is called the *size* of G. A path in G is called *simple* if all its vertices are distinct. When it is convenient, we shall identify G with the corresponding antireflexive symmetric binary relation on $V(G)$, i.e. $E(G) \subset V(G) \times V(G)$. The *length* of a path is the number of its edges. The group of all automorphisms of graph G will be denoted by $Aut(G)$. The *girth* of a graph G, denoted by $g = g(G)$, is the length of the shortest cycle in G.

Examples of graphs with large girth which satisfy certain additional conditions are known to be hard to construct, and they turn out to be useful in various problems in extremal graph theory, in studies of graphs with high degree of symmetry, and in designs of communication networks. There are many references on each of these topics. Here we mention just a few main books and survey papers which also contain extensive bibliographies. On the extremal graph theory: [6,7,14,29]; on graphs with high degree of symmetry: [9,15,17,27,30,31,32,39]; on communication networks: [2,12].

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of graphs. By $ex(v, \mathcal{F})$ we denote the greatest number of edges in a graph on v vertices which contains no subgraph isomorphic to a graph from $\mathcal{F}$. Let C_m denote the cycle of length $m \geq 3$. According to a well known unpublished result of Erdös (The Even Circuit Theorem), see [29], $ex(v, C_{2k}) = O(v^{1+1/k})$ (for a generalization of this result see [7,14]). This upper bound is known to be sharp for C_4, C_6 and C_{10}. The corresponding construction for C_4 can be found in [10,13,29]. The constructions for C_6 and C_{10} (see [1,29]) are incidence graphs for generalized n-gons, $n = 4, 6$ (geometries of the Chevalley groups $B_2(q)$ and $G_2(q)$). Recently new important examples of graphs with no 6– or 10–cycles were found by Wenger in [40], where they are denoted by $H_3(p)$ and $H_5(p)$ respectively, p is a prime number. These graphs are members of a family $\{H_i(p), i \geq 1\}$, of regular bipartite graphs whose vertex sets are disjoint unions of two i-dimensional vector spaces over the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$, and whose edges are defined by certain systems of equations.

The content of this paper is outlined below.

(i) In Section 1 we present a construction of a blow–up of a graph which is used in subsequent sections.

(ii) In Section 2 we consider a connection between Wenger graphs and generalized n-gons. Let I be the incidence relation and $\{p, l\}$ be a flag of the regular generalized n–gon, $n = 4, 6$, over $\mathbb{F}_q$, $q = p^m$. We consider graphs $S_n(q)$ obtained by restricting I on the set $P_n \cup L_n$, where P_n (L_n) is the set of points (lines) opposite to p (l) in the n-gon. Coordinatizations of the generalized n–gons (see [33], [34]) allow to identify each P_n and L_n with a vector space $\mathbb{F}_q^{n-1}$, and the incidence of vectors from P_n and L_n can be expressed in terms of systems of equations on their coordinates. If $n = 4$, this system coincides with the one for $H_3(p)$. Therefore $S_4(q)$ is a simple generalization of $H_3(p)$. On the other hand, if $n = 6$ and $q = p > 2$, graphs $S_6(p)$ and $H_5(p)$ are not isomorphic: graph $H_5(p)$ contains an 8–cycle, hence it cannot be isomorphic to a subgraph of a generalized 6–gon.

(iii) In Section 3, we construct an infinite series of regular bipartite edge–transitive graphs of girth 10. Having girth 10, they cannot be isomorphic to subgraphs of the generalized 6–gons, but they have asymptotically as many edges as regular generalized 6–gons.

It is known that $ex(v, \{C_3, C_4, \dots, C_m\}) \geq c_m v^{1+\frac{1}{m-1}}$ for some positive constant c_m, $m \geq 3$. This result follows from a theorem proved implicitly by Erdös

(see [29]) and the proof is nonconstructive. As it was mentioned in [29], it is unlikely that this lower bound is sharp. For any prime power $q \geq 3$, we construct a q–regular bipartite graph $G(q)$ of order $v = 2q^9$, size $e = q^{10}$ and girth ≥ 14, which supports this claim. For these graphs $e \sim 2^{\frac{10}{9}} v^{1+\frac{1}{9}}$, which is better than the best previously known lower bound $c_{13} v^{1+\frac{1}{12}}$. (See also Section 4 and [37,41]). Graph $G(q)$ is also edge–transitive.

(iv) Finally, in Section 4, we generalize the construction for $G(q)$, and build a new infinite series of regular bipartite graphs with edge–transitive automorphism group and large girth. More precisely, for any positive odd integer $k \geq 3$ and any prime power q, we build a q–regular bipartite graph $D(k,q)$ on $2q^k$ vertices with girth $g \geq k + 5$. This series is an example of a "series of graphs with large girth", and to our knowledge, for $k \geq 19$, it is "the second best" known explicit example of such a series. More details are given in Section 4.

Our constructions were motivated by some results on embeddings of Chevalley group geometries in the corresponding Lie algebras [34,35], and a construction of a blow–up for an incidence system and a graph [33,36].

1. A blow–up of the graph

For a positive integer $n \geq 1$, let $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $2^{[n]}$ denote the set of all subsets of $[n]$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an n–dimensional vector space over some field K with a fixed basis $\{e_i \mid i \in [n]\}$. For an arbitrary subset A of $[n]$, let $\mathcal{L}_A$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{L}$ spanned by $\{e_i \mid i \in A\}$. By $x|_A$ we denote the canonical projection of a vector $x \in \mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{L}_A$. Let G be a graph, and let $\eta : V(G) \to 2^{[n]}$ be a mapping of the set of vertices of G into $2^{[n]}$. Finally, let $*$ denote a skew–symmetric bilinear product on $\mathcal{L}$. Consider a new graph $\widetilde{G}$ with the vertex set $\widetilde{V}$ defined as

$$\widetilde{V} = \{(a, x) \mid a \in V(G), x \in \mathcal{L}_{\eta(a)}\}.$$

We define two distinct vertices (a, x) and (b, y) of $\widetilde{G}$ to be adjacent if and only if

$$\{a, b\} \in E(G) \quad \text{or} \quad x^{h_b} - y^{h_a}|_{\eta(a) \cap \eta(b)} = x * y|_{\eta(a) \cap \eta(b)},$$

where, for $a \in V(G)$, $h_a : e_i \mapsto \lambda_a(i) e_i$, $i \in [n]$, is a nonsingular diagonal operator of $\mathcal{L}$ (defined by its action on the vectors from the basis). We call graph $\widetilde{G}$ a *blow–up* of G.

In [33,34], Ustimenko showed that the incidence relation of the geometry $\gamma(G)$ of a Chevalley group G is a blow–up of the geometry $\gamma(W)$ of its Weyl group W. In this case the vector space $(\mathcal{L}, *)$ is the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, where Φ^+ is the set of positive roots for W and $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is a root subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$. The basis vectors e_α, $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, are elements of the Chevalley basis. In particular, each regular generalized n–gon , $n = 3, 4, 6$, corresponding to a Chevalley group of rank 2 of normal type is a blow–up of the incidence graph of the ordinary n–gon (geometry of the dihedral group D_{2n}), which is the cycle C_{2n}. This illustrates that by "blowing up" (over $\mathbb{F}_q$) a small bipartite graph one can obtain a graph of high girth and of large size.

All graphs in this paper are blow–ups of $K_{1,1}$ over finite fields, where $K_{1,1}$ is a graph with two vertices joined by an edge. The bilinear product on $\mathcal{L}$ is defined on the basis elements as $e_i * e_j = \lambda_k \cdot e_k$, where k depends on i and j. For every point p and line l, $|\eta(p)| = |\eta(l)| = n - 1$. Let us assume that $\eta(p) = [n] \setminus \{2\}$ and $\eta(l) = [n] \setminus \{1\}$. Then the set of vertices of the bipartite graph $\widetilde{K}_{1,1}$ can be thought a disjoint union of sets P (set of points) and L (set of lines) of the form $P = \{(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in I\!\!F_q\}$, $L = \{[y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)] \mid y_i \in I\!\!F_q\}$.

All graphs in this paper have a group theoretical interpretation as follows. For every $i \in [n]$ and $x \in I\!\!F_q$, there exists an automorphism $t_i(x)$ of the graph which acts on the coordinates of vectors of $P \cup L$ by the rule: $x_j \to P_i^j(x, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n)$, $y_j \to L_i^j(y, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)$, where P_i^j and L_i^j are polynomials over $I\!\!F_q$. The automorphisms $t_i(x)$ satisfy the following properties:

(a) $t_i(x) \cdot t_i(y) = t_i(x + y)$, and so they are the "generalized exponents", and the group $U_i = \langle t_i(x) \mid x \in I\!\!F_q \rangle$ is isomorphic to the additive group of $I\!\!F_q$.

(b) Group U generated by all $t_i(x)$ is nilpotent and of order q^{n+1} ("generalized unipotent subgroup")

(c) Graph $\widetilde{K}_{1,1}$ is isomorphic to the incidence graph of the following incident structure: sets P and L are the sets of cosets of U with respect to subgroups U_1 and U_2 respectively, with two cosets (one from P, another from L) being incident if and only if their intersection is nonempty.

2. Extremal regular induced subgraphs of generalized 4–and 6–gons

The *incidence structure* (P, L, I) is a triple where P and L are two disjoint sets (set of *points* and set of *lines*, respectively), and I is a symmetric binary relation on $P \cup L$ (*incidence relation*). As is usually done, we impose the following restrictions on I : two points (lines) are incident if and only if they coincide. Let $B = B((P, L, I))$ be a bipartite graph such that $V(B) = P \cup L$ and $E(B) = \{\{p, l\} \mid pIl, \ p \in P, \ l \in L\}$. We notice that, according to our definition, B is a simple bipartite graph. We call B the *incidence* graph for the incidence structure (P, L, I).

Let P and L be the sets of vertices and sides of an ordinary n–gon, and I be the natural relation of incidence of a vertex and a side. It is easy to see that the incidence graph of this incidence structure is the cycle C_{2n}. Tits [31] introduced the following definition of a *generalized n–gon* as an incidence structure satisfying the following properties:

(i) for any two distinct elements a and b from $P \cup L$ there exists a positive integer s, $s \le n$, and a sequence $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_s$ of distinct elements of $P \cup L$ where $x_0 = a$, $x_s = b$, and $x_i I x_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, s - 1$.

(ii) if $s < n$, then the sequence defined in (i) is unique.

Of course, the ordinary ("geometrical") n–gon is a generalized n–gon, and the girth of the incidence graph of a generalized n–gon is $2n$. It is known ([15]), that apart from the ordinary polygons, finite generalized n–gons exist only for $n = 3, 4, 6, 8, 12$.

Some other examples of generalized n–gons for $n = 3, 4, 6$ are closely connected to Chevalley groups $A_2(q), B_2(q), G_2(q)$ of rank 2 over the finite field $I\!F_q$ (see [11]).

Let G be a Chevalley group of rank 2 over the field $I\!F_q$, $q = p^m$, p is prime, $m \geq 1$. Then a Borel subgroup of G is the normalizer in G of a Sylow p–subgroup of G. There are exactly two maximal subgroups P_1 and P_2 of G which contain a fixed Borel subgroup B (see [11]). Let us consider the incidence structure (P, L, I), where P is $(G : P_1)$ – the totality of all left cosets of G by P_1, L is $(G : P_2)$, and elements a and b of $P \cup L$ are incident if and only if the intersection of a and b as cosets of G is nonempty. It can be shown, e.g. see [32], that this incidence structure is a generalized n–gon. The corresponding bipartite incidence graph, which we denote by $B_n(q)$, is $(q + 1)$–regular.

Let us consider the orbits of the Borel subgroup B on the sets P and L for our generalized n–gons. The cardinalities of orbits on the set of points and the set of lines are the same and equal $1, q, q^2, \ldots q^{n-1}$ (see [11]). Let $S(P)$ and $S(L)$ be the orbits of largest size q^{n-1} on P and L respectively, and $S_n(q)$ be the subgraph of $B_n(q)$ induced on the set $S(P) \cup S(L)$. The importance of graphs $S_n(q)$ in extremal graph theory stems from the fact that they are of girth $2n$ and of size $O(v^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}})$.

Theorem 2.1. *For $n = 4, 6$, graph $S_n(q)$, satisfies the following properties:*
(a) $S_n(q)$ is q–regular of order $2q^{n-1}$ and size q^n
(b) $S_n(q)$ is a graph of girth $2n$.
(c) $S_n(q)$ is edge–transitive
(d) For $q = 2^k, k \geq 1$, $S_4(q)$ is vertex–transitive. For $q = 3^k, k \geq 1$, $S_6(q)$ is vertex–transitive.■

Let G be a Chevalley group of normal type corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = H \oplus \mathcal{L}^+ \oplus \mathcal{L}^-$, where H is the Cartan algebra and $\mathcal{L}^+$ ($\mathcal{L}^-$) is the direct sum of root subalgebras, corresponding to positive (negative) roots. The incidence graph $I(G)$ of the geometry $\gamma(G)$ of group G is a blow–up of the incidence graph $I(W)$ of the geometry of its Weyl group W (see [33,34]). In this case the blow–up $I(W)$ was constructed by using the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ and a fixed Chevalley basis for it.

We restrict our attention to Chevalley groups of rank two of normal type. In this case we obtain a convenient description of graphs $S_n(q)$.

For each $b \in \mathcal{L}$, a linear transformation $ad(b) : x \mapsto [b, x]$ is a nilpotent operator of $\mathcal{L}$. Let $v = ad(te_\alpha)$, where e_α is an element of the Chevalley basis from the root space corresponding to root α, and $t \in I\!F_q$. Let $x_\alpha(t) = 1 + v/1! + v^2/2! + v^3/3! + \ldots$. Then $x_\alpha(t + t') = x_\alpha(t)x_\alpha(t')$, and G is generated by all $x_\alpha(t)$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}^+$, $t \in I\!F_q$. For a fixed positive root α, let U_α be a group generated by all $x_\alpha(t)$, $t \in I\!F_q$.

Proposition 2.2. *For $n = 3, 4, 6$, graph $S_n(q)$ is isomorphic to the incidence graph of the group incidence structure $\gamma = \gamma(U, \{U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}\})$.* ■

Let $M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. (This is a complete list of the so–called 2×2 Cartan matrices.) In what follows A will represent a matrix from this list. We can consider a lattice $\mathcal{H}$ with basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, i.e. the set $\{\lambda_1\alpha_1 + \lambda_2\alpha_2 \mid \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in Z\}$. For an arbitrary 2×2 integer matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, we consider two linear transformations r_1, r_2 of $\mathcal{H}$, where $(\alpha_j)^{r_i} = \alpha_j - a_{ij}\alpha_i, i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. It is easy to check that, if $A = M_k, k = 1, 2, 3$, then $r_i^2 = e, i = 1, 2$ and $(r_1 r_2)^m = e$ for $m = 3$ (if $k = 1$), $m = 4$ (if $k = 2$), $m = 6$ (if $k = 3$), and these conditions are generic relations for a group $W = W(A) = \langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$, i.e., $W(A)$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_m. $W(A)$ is usually called the *Weyl group* corresponding to the 2×2 Cartan matrix A. (For more on this, see [8].) The set $\Phi(A) = \{\alpha_i^g \mid g \in W, i = 1, 2\}$ is usually called a *root system*. The set $\Phi(A)$ is a disjoint union of sets $\Phi^+(A)$ and $\Phi^-(A)$, where $\Phi^+(A) = \Phi(A) \cap \{\lambda_1\alpha_1 + \lambda_2\alpha_2 \mid \lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2\}$ (elements of $\Phi^+(A)$ are called *positive roots*) and $\Phi^-(A) = \Phi(A) \cap \{-x \mid x \in \Phi^+(A)\}$ (*negative roots*). We have $\Phi^+(M_1) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$, $\Phi^+(M_2) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$, $\Phi^+(M_3) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2\}$. Let α_i^*, $i = 1, 2$, be the linear functional on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\alpha_i^*(\alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. We can consider the dual lattice $\mathcal{H}^* = \{\lambda_1\alpha_1^* + \lambda_2\alpha_2^* \mid \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in Z\}$. For a given $i, i = 1, 2, 3$, the group $W(A)$ acts on $\mathcal{H}^*$ by the following rule: for a linear functional $l \in \mathcal{H}^*$ and $g \in W$, $l \mapsto l^g$, where $l^g(x) = l(x^{g^{-1}})$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let $H_1(A) = \{(\alpha_1^*)^g \mid g \in W(A)\}$ and $H_2(A) = \{(\alpha_2^*)^g \mid g \in W(A)\}$. We shall say that two functionals $l_1 \in H_1(A)$ and $l_2 \in H_2(A)$ are incident and write $l_1 J l_2$ if and only if for every x from $\Phi(A)$, $l_1(x) \cdot l_2(x) \geq 0$.

Proposition 2.3. *The incidence structure $H(M_k) = (H_1(M_k), H_2(M_k), J)$ is isomorphic to the ordinary m_k–gon, $m_1 = 3, m_2 = 4, m_3 = 6$.*

PROOF.
It is easy to check that

$$H_1(M_1) = \{\alpha_1^*, -\alpha_1^* + \alpha_2^*, -\alpha_1^*\}$$
$$H_2(M_1) = \{\alpha_2^*, -\alpha_2^* + \alpha_1^*, -\alpha_2^*\}$$
$$H_1(M_2) = \{\alpha_1^*, -\alpha_1^* + 2\alpha_2^*, \alpha_1^* - 2\alpha_2^*, -\alpha_1^*\}$$
$$H_2(M_2) = \{\alpha_2^*, \alpha_1^* - \alpha_2^*, -\alpha_1^* + \alpha_2^*, -\alpha_2^*\}$$
$$H_1(M_3) = \{\alpha_1^*, \alpha_1^* - \alpha_2^*, 2\alpha_1^* - \alpha_2^*, -2\alpha_1^* + \alpha_2^*, -\alpha_1^* + \alpha_2^*, -\alpha_1^*\}$$
$$H_2(M_3) = \{\alpha_2^*, 3\alpha_1^* - \alpha_2^*, -3\alpha_1^* + 2\alpha_2^*, 3\alpha_1^* - 2\alpha_2^*, -3\alpha_1^* + \alpha_2^*, -\alpha_2^*\}$$

and the bipartite incidence graph for $H(M_k)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, is the cycle C_{2m_k}. ∎

M. ore general propositions for $n \times n$ Cartan matrices of simple finite dimensional and affine algebras are considered in [34] and [35], respectively.

Let ζ be the vector space of formal linear combinations $t_1\alpha_1^* + t_2\alpha_2^*$, where $t_1, t_2 \in I\!\!F_q$, let $L = L(A)$ be the set of all linear combinations of the form $\sum\limits_{\alpha \in \Phi^+(A)} t_\alpha e_\alpha, t_\alpha \in I\!\!F_q$, and let $\zeta \oplus L$ be the direct sum of ζ and L.

We define a bilinear product $[\ ,\ ]$ on $\zeta \oplus L$ by its values on elements of the basis in the following way:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} [\alpha_i^*, \alpha_2^*] & = 0, \quad i = 1, 2 \\ [\alpha_i^*, e_\beta] & = \alpha_i^*(\beta) \cdot e_\beta, \quad \beta \in \Phi^+(A), \quad i = 1, 2 \\ [e_\alpha, e_\beta] & = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \notin \Phi^+(A) \\ (r+1)e_{\alpha+\beta} & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+(A), \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where r is an integer uniquely determined by the condition $\beta - r\alpha \in \Phi(A)$, $\beta - (r+1)\alpha \notin \Phi(A)$.

It is known (see [11]) that $(\zeta \oplus L, [\ ,\ ])$ is isomorphic to the Borel subalgebra of the Lie algebra for G $(G = A_2(q), B_2(q), G_2(q))$, which is, by definition, the direct sum of the Cartan subalgebra with the sum of root spaces which correspond to positive roots.

Let us denote by L_α the totality of vectors in L of the form $\lambda\alpha$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For an integer a we denote by $\bar{a}$ the residue of $a(\bmod p)$. We shall write $\bar{l} = \Sigma\overline{\lambda_i}\alpha_i^*$, where $l = \Sigma\lambda_i\alpha_i^*$ is an element of $\mathcal{H}^*$.

Let $l \in \mathcal{H}^*$ and $\eta(l) = \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid l(\alpha) < 0\}$. We shall consider an incidence structure $\zeta(A, q)$ with the set of points and lines $\zeta_i(A, q) = \{(l, y) \mid l = l(x) \in H_i(A), y \in \sum_{\alpha \in \eta(l)} L_\alpha\}$, $i = 1, 2$, and the following incidence relation $\widetilde{J}$:

$$(l(x), y)\widetilde{J}(t(x), z) \Longleftrightarrow lJt \text{ and } [\bar{l} + y, \bar{t} + z] = 0$$

It has been shown in [35,36] that the incidence structure of $\zeta(A, q)$ for $q = p^s$, $p > 3$, and $A \in \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ is isomorphic to the generalized n–gon arising from the Chevalley group $A_2(q)$, $B_2(q)$, $G_2(q)$, $n = 3, 4, 6$, respectively. If $A = M_2$, this statement is also valid for $p = 3$.

A mapping $\phi\colon (P, L, I) \to (P', L', I')$ is called a *morphism* from an incidence system (P, L, I) to an incidence system (P', L', I') if $\phi(P) \subset P'$, $\phi(L) \subset L'$, and pIl implies $\phi(p)I'\phi(l)$.

The following proposition follows immediately from definitions and the above.

Proposition 2.4. *A mapping $r : \zeta(M_k, q) \to H(M_k)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, defined by $r((h, x)) = h$, is a morphism of the generalized m_k–gon onto the ordinary m_k–gon, $m_k \in \{3, 4, 6\}$.* ∎

If the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is greater than 3, we can identify our graph $S_t(q)$, $t = 4, 6$, with the restrictions of the incidence graph for $\zeta(M_k, q), k = 2, 3$, on $r^{-1}(-\alpha_1^*) \cup r^{-1}(-\alpha_2^*)$. It is easy to see that $r^{-1}(-\alpha_i^*) = \{(-\alpha_i^*, x) \mid x \in \sum_{\alpha \in \eta(-\alpha_i^*)} L_\alpha\}$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore $S_t(q)$ is the blow–up of $K_{1,1}$ with vertices $-\alpha_1^*$ and $-\alpha_2^*$.

Let $n = 4, 6$, $P_n = \{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$, $L_n = \{[y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}] \mid y_i \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. We define an incidence relation I_n (between P_n and L_n) as:

$(a, b, c)I_4[x, y, z]$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} y - b = xa \\ z - 2c = -2xb, \end{cases}$$

and $(a, b, c, d, f)I_6[x, y, z, u, w]$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} y - b = xa \\ z - 2c = -2xb \\ u - 3d = -3xc \\ 2w - 3f = 3zb - 3yc - ua. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let $q = p^m$, p is an odd positive prime. For $p \geq 3$, graph $S_4(q)$, and for $p \geq 5$, graph $S_6(q)$, are isomorphic to the incidence graphs of the incidence structure (P_4, L_4, I_4) and (P_6, L_6, I_6) respectively.* ■

In order to prove Theorem 2.5, it is sufficient to represent elements of P and L by means of their coordinate vectors, and to choose coefficients in (2.1) in a certain way. Our choice is the following:

$$[e_{\alpha_1}, e_{\alpha_2}] = -e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad [e_{\alpha_1}, e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}] = -2e_{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$$
$$[e_{\alpha_1}, e_{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}] = 3e_{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad [e_{\alpha_2}, e_{3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}] = e_{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2}$$
$$[e_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, e_{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}] = 3e_{3\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2}.$$

Let ϕ be the mapping of $S_6(q)$ to $S_4(q)$ induced by the canonical projections of vector spaces P_6 and L_6 on the first three coordinates. As an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.5, we get

Proposition 2.6. *ϕ is a morphism of the incidence systems.* ■

1. . $S_3(q)$ is the incidence graph of the following incidence system: $P_3 = \{(x_1, x_2) \,|x_i \in I\!\!F_q\}$, $L_3 = \{[y_1, y_2] \,|y_i \in I\!\!F_q\}$, and $(x_1, x_2)I_3[y_1, y_2]$ if and only if $y_2 - x_2 = y_1 x_1$ (affine plane).

2. . Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.5, the operator $x_\alpha(t)$ from U preserves the set of vertices of $S_n(q)$, $n = 3, 4, 6$, and its restriction on this set is an automorphism of $S_n(q)$.

Let $H_n(q)$ be a blow–up of $K_{1,1}$ in the case when

(a) $(\mathcal{L}, *)$ is an n–dimensional algebra over $I\!\!F_q$ with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ and a multiplciation $*$ satisfying

(2.2) $$\begin{cases} e_i * e_1 = e_{i+1}, \quad e_1 * e_{i+1} = -e_{i+1}, \; i = 2, \ldots, n-1 \\ (1 \notin \{i, j\}) \Rightarrow e_i * e_j = 0 \end{cases}$$

(b) $\eta(p) = \{2, 3, \ldots, n\}$ and $\eta(l) = \{1, 3, \ldots, n\}$ for every point (p) and every line $[l]$.

Let $P_h(n) = P_h$ and $L_h(n) = L_h$ be the sets of points and lines of $H_n(q)$. It is easy to see, that point $(p) = (p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_n)$ and line $[l] = [l_1, l_3, \ldots, l_n]$ are incident if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} l_3 - p_3 = l_1 \cdot p_2 \\ l_4 - p_4 = l_1 \cdot p_3 \\ \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots \\ l_n - p_n = l_1 \cdot p_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.7. *Graphs $H_n(q)$ are edge–transitive, and for $n \geq 3$, $g(H_n(q)) = 8$. Graph $S_4(q)$ is isomorphic to $H_3(q)$.*

Wenger [40] proved that $H_5(p)$ contains no C_{10}. His proof can be easily modified to obtain that $H_n(q)$, $q = p^m$, contains no C_{10}. This result, together with Theorem 2.7, implies

Proposition 2.8. *Graph $H_5(q)$ of order $2q^5$ and size q^6 contains no C_{10} and is not isomorphic to $S_6(q)$.* ∎

In fact, graph $H_5(q)$ does not contain C_{10} and, having girth 8, cannot be embedded into a generalized 6–gon. Other examples of "magnitude extremal" bipartite graphs of girth at most $2k - 2$, but containing no C_{2k}, can be found in [20].

3. Construction of graphs of order $2q^5$, size q^6, and girth 10 which is not based on a classical root system.

As we have mentioned, it was shown in [15] that there are no generalized 5–gons whose vertices have degree ≥ 3. This makes the construction of this section different from the one in Section 2.

Let P and L be two 5–dimensional vector spaces over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. We assume that a basis in each of these spaces is chosen. Then the vectors of P and L can be thought as ordered 5–tuples of elements from $\mathbb{F}_q$. We define an incidence structure with point set P and line set L. It will be convenient for us to denote vectors from P as $x = (x) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ and vectors from L as $y = [y] = [y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5]$. The parentheses and brackets will allow us to distinguish vectors of different types (points and lines). We say that point $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_5)$ is incident with line $l = [l_1, \ldots, l_5]$, and we write it pIl or $(p)I[l]$, if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} l_2 - p_2 = l_1 p_1 \\ l_3 - p_3 = l_1 p_2 \\ l_4 - p_4 = p_1 l_2 \\ l_5 - p_5 = 2l_1 p_4 - p_1 l_3 \end{cases}$$

This incidence defines a bipartite graph $B = B(q)$ whose vertex partition sets are P and L, and a point (p) and a line $[l]$ are connected by an edge if and only if $(p)I[l]$. The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.1. *The bipartite graph $B(q)$ satisfies the following properties:*
(a) $B(q)$ is q–regular of order $2q^5$ and size q^6
(b) For infinitely many values of q, $g(B(q)) = 10$
(c) For infinitely many values of q, $B(q)$ is not isomorphic to a subgraph of a generalized 6–gon.

PROOF.

(a) Obviously, $|V(B)| = |P| + |L| = q^5 + q^5 = 2q^5$. It is immediate from (3.1) that for a fixed $(p) \in V(B)$, the components of a line $[l] \in V(B)$ incident to (p) are determined uniquely by the value of l_1, which can be any element of the field. Therefore, the degree of (p) in B is q. In the same way we obtain that the degree of a line $[l]$ in B is also q. Therefore B is q–regular and $|E(B)| = q^6$.

Our proof of part (b) will be facilitated by the following two observations. First we notice that a graph G contains no $C_{2k}, k \geq 2$, if there is at most one simple path of length k between any two of its vertices. We will show that any pair of vertices of B is connected by at most one simple path of length $k, k = 2, 3, 4$. This will imply that $g(B) \geq 10$ since, being a bipartite graph, B contains no odd cycles.

Another observation is the existence of certain automorphisms of B. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $t_i(x), i = 0, \ldots, 5$, be the mappings $V(B) \rightarrow V(B)$ defined as

$$(p)^{t_0(x)} = (p_1 + x, p_2, p_3, p_4 + p_2 x, p_5 + 2p_3 x)$$
$$[l]^{t_0(x)} = [l_1, l_2 + l_1 x, l_3, l_4 + 2l_2 x + l_1 x^2, l_5 + l_3 x]$$
$$(p)^{t_1(x)} = (p_1, p_2 - p_1 x, p_3 - 2p_2 x + p_1 x^2, p_4, p_5 - p_4 x)$$
$$[l]^{t_1(x)} = [l_1 + x, l_2, l_3 - l_2 x, l_4, l_5 + l_4 x]$$
$$(p)^{t_2(x)} = (p_1, p_2 + x, p_3, p_4 - p_1 x, p_5 - 3p_2 x)$$
$$[l]^{t_2(x)} = [l_1, l_2 + x, l_3 + l_1 x, l_4, l_5 - 3l_2 x]$$
$$(p)^{t_3(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3 + x, p_4, p_5 + p_1 x)$$
$$[l]^{t_3(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3 + x, l_4, l_5]$$
$$(p)^{t_4(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 + x, p_5)$$
$$[l]^{t_4(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 + x, l_5 + 2l_1 x]$$
$$(p)^{t_5(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 + x)$$
$$[l]^{t_5(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5 + x]$$

Lemma 3.2.
(i) For every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and every $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 5\}$, the mapping $t_i(x)$ is an automorphism of the graph B and $t_i^{-1}(x) = t_i(-x)$.
(ii) For every edge $\{[l], (p)\}$ of B there exist automorphisms α and β of B such

that $[l]^\alpha = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$, $(p)^\alpha = (a, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, *and* $[l]^\beta = [b, 0, 0, 0, 0]$, $(p)^\beta = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, *for some* $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. *The automorphism group* $Aut(B)$ *acts transitively on the set of points and on the set of lines, and* B *is edge-transitive.*
∎

Now we show that any pair of vertices of B is connected by at most one simple path of length 4. We need not distinguish between the two cases where both vertices are lines or points as the proofs are absolutely similar. So we assume that the two vertices are lines (it is also sufficient to consider this case only, if we want to show the absence of C_8 in B). Call the vertices $[l^1]$ and $[l^3]$. Let $[l^1]I(p^1)I[l^2]I(p^2)I[l^3]$ be our path. Due to Lemma 3.2 (ii), without loss of generality, we may assume $[l^1] = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ and $(p^1) = (x, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. We denote the first components of $[l^2]$ and (p^2) by y and z correspondingly, and we write $[l^3]$ as $[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5]$ (to avoid double indices). The conditions of adjacency of subsequent vertices of the path written in terms of their components (formula (3.1)) allow us to express all the components in terms of x, y, z, a_i: $(p^1)I[l^2]$ gives $[l^2] = [y, xy, 0, x^2y, 0]$ and $[l^2]I(p^2)$ gives $(p^2) = (z, y(x - z), -y^2(x - z), xy(x - z), -2xy^2(x - z))$. The last adjacency $(p^2)I[l^3]$, written in terms of components, gives

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} a_2 - y(x - z) = a_1 z \\ a_3 + y^2(x - z) = a_1 y(x - z) \\ a_4 - xy(x - z) = a_2 z \\ a_5 + 2xy^2(x - z) = 2a_1 xy(x - z) - a_3 z \end{cases}$$

We view (3.2) as a system of equations with unknown x, y, z and parameters a_i. The condition of existence of at most one simple path of length 4 between $[l^1]$ and $[l^3]$ is equivalent to the requirement that (3.2) has at most one solution which satisfies the following inequalities:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} [l^1] \neq [l^3], [l^2] \neq [l^3], [l^1] \neq [l^2] \\ (p^1) \neq (p^2) \end{cases}$$

Simplifying, we get an equivalent system

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} [l^1] \neq [l^3], [l^2] \neq [l^3] \\ y \neq 0, x \neq z \end{cases}$$

Thus our goal is to prove that the combined system (3.2) and (3.4) has at most one solution for every $[l^3] = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5]$. The proof is not hard, it is completely elementary and we omit it.

Why does B contain no C_4 and no C_6? Due to Lemma 3.2, the existence of a cycle of length 4 in B would imply the existence of two interior vertex disjoint simple paths of length 2 between a pair of distinct lines $[l^1] = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ and $[l^2] = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5]$. Let $(p) = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5)$ and $[l^1]I(p)I[l^2]$. Rewriting these adjacencies in terms of components, using (3.1), we get $p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p_5 = 0$ and $a_2 = a_1 p_1$. If $a_1 \neq 0$, then p_1 is determined uniquely and, therefore,

there exists only one path of length 2 between $[l^1]$ and $[l^2]$. If $a_1 = 0$, then $(p)I[l^2]$ implies $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$, and $[l^1] = [l^2]$. Hence B contains no C_4.

Due to Lemma 3.2, the existence of a cycle of length 6 in B would imply the existence of two interior vertex disjoint simple paths of length 3 between a line $[l^1] = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ and a point $(s) = (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5)$. Let (p) and $[l^2]$ be two intermediate vertices on such a path, i.e., $[l^1]I(p)I[l^2]I(s), (p) \neq (s), [l^1] \neq [l^2]$. Rewriting the first two adjacencies in terms of components, we obtain $(p) = (x, 0, 0, 0, 0), [l^2] = [y, xy, 0, x^2y, 0]$ for some $x, y \in I\!\!F_q$. Using $[l^2]I(s)$, we obtain the following system:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} xy - s_2 = s_1 y, 0 - s_3 = s_2 y, x^2 y - s_4 = s_1 xy \\ 0 - s_5 = 2s_4 y \\ (s) \neq (p), y \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

If $s_2 = 0$, then $s_3 = s_4 = s_5 = 0$ and $x = s_1$. This makes $(s) = (p)$, which is not the case. If $s_2 \neq 0$, then $s_3 \neq 0$, $y = -s_3/s_2$ and $x = s_1 - s_2^2/s_3$. Therefore (3.5) has no more than one solution with respect to x and y. Hence B contains no C_6, and $g(B) \geq 10$. To prove that B contains C_{10}, it is enough to show that there are two simple interior vertex–disjoint paths of length 5 between line $[l] = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ and point $(p) = (0, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. This can be reduced to determining when the quadratic equation $3t^2 + 2t - 4 = 0$ has two distinct solutions which satisfy certain restrictions. It can be shown that for all sufficiently large values of q, which are neither divisible by 2 nor 3, and such that 13 is a quadratic residue in $I\!\!F_q$, such two solutions exist; the proof is straightforward and we omit it. We believe that $g(B) = 10$ for most other values of q (point (p) has to be chosen differently), but we leave this investigation out of the paper. This finishes the proof of part (b) of Theorem 3.1. Part (c) follows immediately from (b) since a generalized 6–gon has girth 12. ■

Now we will construct graphs of order $2q^9$, size q^{10}, and girth ≥ 14. The construction is quite similar to the one we performed above . The same can be said about the logic of the proofs, though in this case some are shorter and more elegant. All proofs can be found in [18].

Let $P = \{(p) = (p_1, \ldots p_9) | p_i \in I\!\!F_q, i = 1, \ldots 9\}$ be the set of points and $L = \{[l] = [l_1, \ldots l_9] | l_i \in I\!\!F_q, i = 1, \ldots, 9\}$ be the set of lines. A point $(p) = (p_1, \ldots, p_9)$ and a line $[l] = [l_1, \ldots, l_9]$ are said to be incident (and denoted

$(p)I[l])$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(3.6)\quad\begin{cases} l_2 - p_2 = l_1 p_1 \\ l_3 - p_3 = p_1 l_2 \\ l_4 - p_4 = l_1 p_2 \\ l_5 - p_5 = l_1 p_3 \\ l_6 - p_6 = p_1 l_4 \\ l_7 - p_7 = p_1 l_5 \\ l_8 - p_8 = l_1 p_6 \\ l_9 - p_9 = l_1 p_7 \end{cases}$$

This incidence defines a bipartite graph $G = G(q)$ whose vertex partition sets are P and L. It is easy to show that G has $2q^9$ vertices, q^{10} edges, and is q-regular.

For every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we introduce the following mappings $t_i : V(G) \to V(G), i = 0, \dots , 9$:

$(p)^{t_0(x)} = (p_1 + x, p_2, p_3 + p_2 x, p_4, p_5 + p_4 x, p_6, p_7 + p_6 x, p_8, p_9 + p_8 x)$

$[l]^{t_0(x)} = [l_1, l_2 + l_1 x, l_3 + 2l_2 x + l_1 x^2, l_4, l_5 + l_4 x, l_6 + l_4 x, l_7 + (l_5 + l_6)x + l_4 x^2,$
$\qquad l_8, l_9 + l_8 x]$

$(p)^{t_1(x)} = (p_1, p_2 - p_1 x, p_3, p_4 - 2p_2 x + p_1 x^2, p_5 - p_3 x, p_6 - p_3 x, p_7,$
$\qquad p_8 - (p_5 + p_6)x + p_3 x^2, p_9 - p_7 x)$

$[l]^{t_1(x)} = [l_1 + x, l_2, l_3, l_4 - l_2 x, l_5, l_6 - l_3 x, l_7, l_8 - l_5 x, l_9]$

$(p)^{t_2(x)} = (p_1, p_2 + x, p_3 - p_1 x, p_4, p_5 - p_2 x, p_6 + p_2 x, p_7 - p_3 x, p_8 + p_4 x, p_9 - p_5 x)$

$[l]^{t_2(x)} = [l_1, l_2 + x, l_3, l_4 + l_1 x, l_5 - l_2 x, l_6 + l_2 x, l_7 - l_3 x, l_8 + l_4 x, l_9 - l_5 x]$

$(p)^{t_3(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3 + x, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7 + p_2 x, p_8, p_9 + p_4 x)$

$[l]^{t_3(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3 + x, l_4, l_5 + l_1 x, l_6, l_7 + l_2 x, l_8, l_9 + l_4 x]$

$(p)^{t_4(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 + x, p_5, p_6 - p_1 x, p_7, p_8 - p_2 x, p_9)$

$[l]^{t_4(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 + x, l_5, l_6, l_7, l_8 - l_2 x, l_9]$

$(p)^{t_5(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 + x, p_6, p_7 - p_1 x, p_8, p_9 - p_2 x)$

$[l]^{t_5(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5 + x, l_6, l_7, l_8, l_9 - l_2 x]$

$(p)^{t_6(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6 + x, p_7, p_8, p_9)$

$[l]^{t_6(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5, l_6 + x, l_7, l_8 + l_1 x, l_9]$

$(p)^{t_7(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7 + x, p_8, p_9)$

$[l]^{t_7(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5, l_6, l_7 + x, l_8, l_9 + l_1 x]$

$(p)^{t_8(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8 + x, p_9)$

$[l]^{t_8(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5, l_6, l_7, l_8 + x, l_9]$

$(p)^{t_9(x)} = (p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8, p_9 + x)$

$[l]^{t_9(x)} = [l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5, l_6, l_7, l_8, l_9 + x]$

Lemma 3.3.
(i) For every $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and every $i \in \{1, \ldots 9\}$, the mapping $t_i(x)$ is an automorphism of the graph G and $t_i^{-1}(x) = t_i(-x)$.
(ii) For every edge $\{[l], (p)\}$ of G there exist automorphisms α and β of G such that $[l]^\alpha = [0, \ldots, 0], (p)^\alpha = (a, 0, \ldots, 0)$, and $[l]^\beta = [b, 0, \ldots, 0], (p)^\beta = (0, \ldots, 0)$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The automorphism group $Aut(G)$ acts transitively on each of the sets P and L, and G is edge–transitive. ∎

The proof of the following theorem is similar to the one of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.4. *Let q be a prime power, $q \geq 3$. Then graph $G(q)$ is a q–regular bipartite graph of order $2q^9$ and girth ≥ 14. The automorphism group $Aut(G(q))$ is transitive on each of the sets of points and lines, and $G(q)$ is edge–transitive.* ∎

4. A family of graphs with large girth

In this section we construct a new infinite series of regular bipartite graphs with edge–transitive automorphism group and large girth. More precisely, for any positive odd integer $k \geq 3$ and any prime power q, we build a q–regular bipartite graph $D(k, q)$ on $2q^k$ vertices with girth $g \geq k + 5$. Our construction generalizes the one of the graph $G(q)$ from Section 3, which turns out to be isomorphic to $D(9, q)$. Below we give several reasons why we find these graphs interesting.

As we mentioned in the Introduction, it is known that

$$ex(v, \{C_3, C_4, \cdots, C_m\}) \geq c_m v^{1 + \frac{1}{m-1}} ,$$

for some positive constant c_m, $m \geq 3$, and the proof is nonconstructive. Graphs $D(k, q)$ demonstrate that for an infinite sequence of values of v, $ex(v, \{C_3, C_4, \cdots, C_{2s+1}\}) \geq d_s v^{1 + \frac{1}{2s-3}}$, $s \geq 3$, and this is an improvement of the nonconstructive bound for large v. For large values of s and an infinite sequence of values of v, a better bound $ex(v, \{C_3, C_4, \cdots, C_{2s+1}\}) \geq f_s v^{1 + \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{2s+4}}$ is provided by some Ramanujan graphs (see below), and it appears to be the best asymptotic lower bound known. Comparing the exponents of v, we obtain that our bound is better for $3 \leq s \leq 11$ and large v. For all odd prime powers q or $q = 2^m$, m is a positive even integer, and all odd values of k, $3 \leq k \leq 17$, $k \neq 7$, graphs $D(k, q)$ are of the greatest known size among the graphs of given order and girth $\geq k + 5$. The same is correct if $q = 2^m$, m is odd, k is odd, $3 \leq k \leq 17$, $k \neq 7, 11$. Graphs $D(3, q)$ and $D(5, q)$ have asymptotically as many edges as the incidence 'point–line' graphs of a generalized quadrangle and a generalized hexagon respectively, and the greatest known edge density (the ratio $e/\binom{v}{2}$) among the graphs of the

same order and girth. For prime q, a somewhat similar construction leading to graphs with the same order, edge density and girth as $D(3,q)$ and $D(5,q)$, was done by Wenger (see [40] and Section 2.) Graph $D(7,q)$ has girth ≥ 12 but asymptotically fewer edges, than the incidence graph of a generalized hexagon whose girth is 12. Graph $D(9,q)$ is isomorphic to $G(q)$ from Section 3. It has girth at least 14 and shows that $ex(v, \{C_3, C_4, \cdots, C_{13}\}) \geq d_{13}v^{1+\frac{1}{9}}$. For $q = 2^m$, where m is an odd positive integer, this lower bound may not be the best due to a recent result of Ustimenko and Woldar[37,41], where an example of a q–regular graph of order $v = 2q^t$ and girth at least 16 is given, with t being an unknown integer satisfying the inequality $8 \leq t \leq 9$. Their result implies that $ex(v, \{C_3, C_4, \cdots, C_{15}\}) \geq d_{15}v^{1+\frac{1}{t}}$ for an infinite sequenc e of values of v and an integer t, $8 \leq t \leq 9$. This lower bound is certainly better than the one of magnitude $v^{1+\frac{1}{11}}$ provided by the graph $D(11,q)$.

Let $\{G_i\}$, $i \geq 1$, be a family of graphs such that each G_i is a k_i–regular graph of order v_i and girth g_i. Following Biggs [3] we say that $\{G_i\}$ is a family of graphs with *large girth* if

$$g_i \geq \gamma \log_{k_i-1}(v_i)$$

for some constant γ. It is well known (e.g. see [6]) that $\gamma = 2$ would be the best possible constant, but no family has been found to achieve this bound. For many years the only significant results were the theorems of Erdös and Sachs and its improvements by Sauer, Walther, and others (see [6] pp. 107 for more details and references), who using nonconstructive methods proved the existence of infinite families with $\gamma = 1$. The first explicit examples of families with large girth were given by Margulis [23] with $\gamma \approx 0.44$ for some infinite families with arbitrary large valency, and $\gamma \approx 0.83$ for an infinite family of graphs of valency 4. The constructions were Cayley graphs of $SL_2(Z_p)$ with respect to special sets of generators. Imrich [16] was able to improve the result for an arbitrary large valency, $\gamma \approx 0.48$, and to produce a family of cubic graphs (valency 3) with $\gamma \approx 0.96$. In [5] a family of geometrically defined cubic graphs, so called sextet graphs, was introduced by Biggs and Hoare. They conjectured that these graphs have large girth. Weiss [38] proved the conjecture by showing that for the sextet graphs (or their double cover) $\gamma \geq 4/3$. Then independently Margulis [24,25,26] and Lubotsky, Phillips and Sarnak [21,22] (see also [28]) came up with similar examples of graphs with $\gamma \geq 4/3$ and arbitrary large valency (they turned out to be so–called Ramanujan graphs). In [4], Biggs and Boshier showed that γ is exactly $4/3$ for graphs from [22]. The graphs are Cayley graphs of the group $PSL_2(Z_q)$ with respect to a set of $p+1$ generators (p, q are primes congruent to $1 \bmod 4$).

The family of graphs $D(k,q)$ presented below gives an explicit example of graphs with an arbitrary large valency and $\gamma \geq 1$. Their definition and analysis are basically elementary. All proofs are omitted, and can be found in [19]. Here are the graphs.

Let q be a prime power. We define the infinite semiplane $\Gamma(q)$ as follows. Let P and L be two infinite–dimensional vector spaces over the finite field F_q. The vectors of P and L can be thought as infinite sequences of elements of F_q. P and L will be the set of points and the set of lines of the incidence structure $\Gamma(q)$. It will be convenient for us to write the components of points and lines as

$$(p) = (p_1, p_{1,1}, p_{1,2}, p_{2,1}, p_{2,2}, p'_{2,2}, p_{2,3}, p_{3,2}, p_{3,3}, p'_{3,3}, \dots, p'_{i,i}, p_{i,i+1},$$
$$\qquad p_{i+1,i}, p_{i+1,i+1}, \dots),$$
$$[l] = [l_1, l_{1,1}, l_{1,2}, l_{2,1}, l_{2,2}, l'_{2,2}, l_{2,3}, l_{3,2}, l_{3,3}, l'_{3,3}, \dots, l'_{i,i}, l_{i,i+1}, l_{i+1,i}, l_{i+1,i+1}, \dots].$$

We also assume $p_{-1,0} = l_{0,-1} = p_{1,0} = l_{0,1} = 0$, $p_{0,0} = l_{0,0} = -1$, $p'_{0,0} = l'_{0,0} = 1$, $p_{0,1} = p_1$, $l_{1,0} = l_1$, $l'_{1,1} = l_{1,1}$, $p'_{1,1} = p_{1,1}$. We say that a point (p) is incident with a line $[l]$, and write it as $(p)I[l]$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(4.1) \qquad \begin{cases} l_{i,i} - p_{i,i} = l_1 p_{i-1,i} \\ l'_{i,i} - p'_{i,i} = p_1 l_{i,i-1} \\ l_{i,i+1} - p_{i,i+1} = p_1 l_{i,i} \\ l_{i+1,i} - p_{i+1,i} = l_1 p'_{i,i} \\ \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Notice that for $i = 1$, the first two equations coinside and give $l_{1,1} - p_{1,1} = l_1 p_1$. Let $D(q)$ be the incidence graph of the incidence structure $\Gamma(q) = (P, I, L)$. For an integer $k \geq 2$, let $\Gamma(k, q) = (P(k), I(k), L(k))$ be the incidence system, where $P(k)$ and $L(k)$ are images of P and L under the projection of these spaces on the first k coordinates, and $I(k)$ is defined by the first k equations of (4.1). (Actually we have $k - 1$ distinct equations, since for $i = 1$ the first two equation of the system (4.1) coincide.) Finally, let $D(k, q)$ be the incidence graph for $\Gamma(k, q)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $k \geq 2$. The incidence system $\Gamma(k, q)$ is a semiplane and $D(k, q)$ is a q-regular bipartite graph on $2q^k$ vertices containing no 4–cycles.* ∎

Our goal now is to show that the girth $g(D(k, q)) \geq k + 5$. This task will be greatly facilitated if we use some automorphisms of $D(k, q)$.

For every $x \in F_q$, let $t_1(x), t_2(x), t_{1,1}(x), t_{m,m+1}(x)$ and $t_{m+1,m}(x), m \geq 1$, $t_{m,m}(x)$ and $t'_{m,m}(x)$, $m \geq 2$, be maps of $P \to P$ and $L \to L$ defined by means of Table 1. An entry of the table shows the effect of the action of the corresponding map (top of the column) on the corresponding component of a line or a point (left end of the row). If the action of a map on the corresponding component of a point or a line is not defined by Table 1, it will mean that the component is fixed

by the map. For example, the map $t_2(x)$ changes every component $l_{i,i+1}, i \geq 1$, of a line $[l]$ according to the rule : $l_{i,i+1} \to l_{i,i+1}+(l_{i,i}+l'_{i,i})x+l_{i,i-1}x^2$, and leaves every component $p_{i+1,i}, i \geq 1$, of a point (p) fixed; the map $t_{1,1}(x)$ changes every component $p_{i,i}, i \geq 1$, of a point (p) according to the rule $p_{i,i} \to p_{i,i} - p_{i-1,i-1}x$; the map $t_{5,6}(x)$ does not change components of any line $[l]$ (or any point (p)) which precede component $l_{5,6}$ (or $p_{5,6}$.)

Proposition 4.2. *For every $x \in F_q$, the maps $t_1(x), t_2(x), t_{1,1}(x); t_{m,m+1}(x)$ and $t_{m+1,m}(x), m \geq 1; t_{m,m}(x)$ and $t'_{m,m}(x), m \geq 2$, are automorphisms of $D(q)$, and their restrictions on $P(k) \cup L(k)$ are automorphisms of $D(k,q)$.* ∎

Finally, we summarize the properties of graphs $D(k,q)$ in the following two theorems.

Theorem 4.3. *For all integers $k \geq 2$ and all prime powers q, graphs $D(q)$ and $D(k,q)$ are edge–transitive. For $q = 2^n, n \geq 1$, and any even integer $k \geq 2$, graphs $D(q)$ and $D(k,q)$ are vertex–transitive.* ∎

Theorem 4.4. *Let $k \geq 3$ be a positive odd integer, q be a positive prime power, and $g = g(D(k,q))$ be the girth of graph $D(k,q)$. Then $g \geq k + 5$.* ∎

$i \geq 0$	$t_1(x)$	$t_2(x)$	$t_{11}(x)$	$t_{m,m+1}(x)$ $m\geq 1$	$t_{m+1,m}(x)$ $m\geq 1$	$t_{m,m}(x)$ $m\geq 1$	$t'_{m,m}(x)$ $m\geq 1$
$l_{i,i}$		$+l_{i,i-1}x$	$-l_{i-1,i-1}x$	$+l_{r,r-1}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 1$		$-l_{r,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$	
$l_{i,i+1}$		$+(l_{i,i}+l'_{i,i})x+$ $+l_{i,i-1}x^2$	$-l_{i-1,i}x$	$+l'_{r,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$		$-l_{r,r+1}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$	
$l_{i+1,i}$	$+l_{i,i}x$		$+l_{i,i-1}x$		$-l_{r,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$		$+l_{r+1,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$
$l'_{i,i}$	$+l_{i-1,i}x$	$+l_{i,i-1}x$	$+l'_{i-1,i-1}x$		$-l_{r-1,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 1$		$+l'_{r,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$
$p_{i,i}$	$+p_{i-1,i}x$	$+p_{i,i-1}x$	$-p_{i-1,i-1}x$	$+p_{r,r-1}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 1$		$-p_{r,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$	
$p_{i,i+1}$		$+p'_{i,i}x$	$-p_{i+1,i}x$	$+p'_{r,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$		$-p_{r,r+1}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$	
$p_{i+1,i}$	$+(p_{i,i}+p'_{i,i})x+$ $+p_{i-1,i}x^2$		$+p_{i,i-1}x$		$-p_{r,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$		$+p_{r+1,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$
$p'_{i,i}$	$+p_{i-1,i}x$		$+p'_{i-1,i-1}x$		$-p_{r-1,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 1$		$+p'_{r,r}x,$ $r=i-m\geq 0$

TABLE 1 $l_{0,0} = p_{0,0} = -1, l_{0,1} = p_{1,0} = 0; l_{1,0} = l_1; p_{0,1} = p_1; l'_{11} = l_{11}; p'_{11} = p_{11}; p'_{0,0} = l'_{0,0} = 1; p_{-1,0} = l_{0,-1} = p_{0,-1} = l_{-1,0} = 0.$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

1. C.T. Benson, *Minimal regular graphs of girths eight and twelve*, Canad. J. Math. **18** (1966), 1091–1094.
2. F. Bien, *Constructions of telephone networks by group representations*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1989), 5–22.
3. N.L. Biggs, *Graphs with large girth*, Ars Combinatoria **25–C** (1988), 73–80.
4. _____ and A.G. Boshier, *Note on the Girth of Ramanujan Graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series **B 49** (1990), 190–194.
5. _____ and M.J. Hoare, *The sextet construction for cubic graphs*, Combinatorica **3** (1983), 153–165.
6. B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1978.
7. J.A. Bondy and M. Simonovits, *Cycles of even length in graphs*, J. Combinatorial Theory (B) **16** (1974), 97–105.
8. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbras de Lie*, Chap. IV, V, VI, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
9. A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, *Distance – Regular Graphs*, Springer–Verlag, Heidelberg–New York, 1989.
10. W.G. Brown, *On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph*, Canad. Math. Bull. **9** (1966), 281–285.
11. R.W. Carter, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, Wiley, New York, 1972.
12. F. K. Chung, *Constructing random–like graphs*, Probabilistic Combinatorics and its Applications Lecture Notes, A.M.S., San Francisco, 1991, pp. 1–24.
13. P. Erdös, A. Rényi and V.T. Sós, *On a problem of graph theory*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **1** (1966), 215–235.
14. R. J. Faudree and M. Simonovits, *On a class of degenerate extremal graph problems*, Combinatorica **3 (1)** (1983), 83–93.
15. W. Feit and G. Higman, *The non–existence of certain generalized polygons*, J. Algebra **1** (1964), 114–131.
16. W. Imrich, Explicit construction of graphs without small cycles, C ombinatorica **2** (1984), 53–59.
17. W.M. Kantor, Generalized polygons, SCABs and GABs In Buildings and the Geometry of Diagrams, Lecture Notes in Math **1181** (1986), Springer Verlag, Berlin, 79–158.
18. F. Lazebnik, V. A. Ustimenko, *New examples of graphs without small cycles and of large size*, submitted for publication.
19. _____, *Explicit construction of graphs with an arbitrary large girth and of large size*, submitted for publication.
20. _____ and A. J. Woldar, *Properties of Certain Families of 2k–Cycle Free Graphs*, submitted for publication.
21. A. Lubotsky, R. Phillips, P. Sarnak, *Ramanujan conjecture and explicit constructions of expanders*, Proc. Stoc **86** (1986), 240–246.
22. _____, *Ramanujan graphs*, Combinatorica **8 (3)** (1988), 261–277.
23. G.A. Margulis, *Explicit construction of graphs without short cycles and low density codes*, Combinatorica **2** (1982), 71–78.
24. _____, *Arithmetic groups and graphs without short cycles*, 6th Internat. Symp. on Information Theory, Tashkent, Abstracts, Vol . 1, 1984, pp. 123–125. (Russian)
25. _____, *Some new constructions of low–density paritycheck codes*, 3rd Internat. Seminar on Information Theory, convolution codes and multy–user communication, Sochi (1987), . 275–279. (Russian)
26. _____, *Explicit group–theoretical construction of combinatori al schemes and their application to the design of expanders and concentrators*, Problemy Peredachi Informatsii **24, No. 1**, 51–60; English transl. publ Journal of Problems of Information Transmission (1988), 39–46.
27. S. Payne and J.A. Thas, *Finite generalized quadrangles*, Pitman, New York, 1985.
28. P. Sarnak, *Some applications of modular forms*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **99** (1990), Cambridge Univ. Press.

29. M. Simonovits, *Extermal Graph Theory*, Selected Topics in Graph Theory 2 (L.W. Beineke and R.J. Wilson, eds.), Academic Press, London, 1983, pp. 161–200.

30. R.R. Singleton, *On minimal graphs of maximum even girth*, J. Combinatorial Theory **1** (1966), 306–322.

31. J. Tits, *Sur la trialité et certains groupes qui s'en déduisent*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **2** (1959), 14–20.

32. _____, *Buildings of spherical type and finite BN–pairs*, Lecture Notes in Math **386**, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1974.

33. V.A. Ustimenko, *Division algebras and Tits geometries*, DAN USSR **296, No. 5** (1987), 1061–1065. (Russian)

34. _____, *A linear interpretation of the flag geometries of Chevalley groups*, Kiev University, Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal **42, No. 3** (March, 1990), 383–387; English transl..

35. _____ paper On the embeddings of some geometries and flag systems in Lie algebras and superalgebras, Root systems, representation and geometries (1990), Kiev, IM AN UkrSSR, 3–16.

36. _____, *On some properties of geometries of the Chevalley groups and their generalizations*, Studies in Algebraic Theory of Combinorial Objects (1986), Moskow; English transl (1991), Kluwer Publ., Dordresht, 112–121.

37. _____ and A.J. Woldar, *An improvement on the Erdös bound for graphs of girth 16*, submitted for publication.

38. A.I. Weiss, *Girth of bipartite sextet graphs*, Combinatorica **4 (2–3)** (1984), 241–245.

39. R. Weiss, *Distance–transitive graphs and generalized polygons*, Arch. Math. **45** (1985), 186–192.

40. R.Wenger, *Extremal graphs with no C^4, C^6, or C^{10} 's*, J. of Combinatorial Theory **Series B 52** (1991), 113-116.

41. A. J. Woldar and V. A. Ustimenko, *An application of group theory to extremal graph theory*, submitted for publication.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE, NEWARK, DELAWARE 19716

E-mail address: fellaz@gluttony.math.udel.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, KIEV STATE UNIVERSITY, 6 GLUSHKOV PROSPECT, KIEV 252127, THE UKRAINE

E-mail address: vau%drp.univ.kiev.ac@r4elay.ussr.eu.net