

# NEW CONSTRUCTIONS OF BIPARTITE GRAPHS ON $m, n$ VERTICES, WITH MANY EDGES, AND WITHOUT SMALL CYCLES <sup>1</sup>

F. LAZEBNIK

*Department of Mathematical Sciences*

*University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA*

V. A. USTIMENKO

*Department of Mathematics and Mechanics*

*University of Kiev, Kiev 252617, Ukraine*

A. J. WOLDAR

*Department of Mathematical Sciences*

*Villanova University, Villanova, PA 19085, USA*

## Abstract

For arbitrary odd prime power  $q$  and  $s \in (0, 1]$  such that  $q^s$  is an integer, we construct a doubly-infinite series of  $(q^5, q^{3+s})$ -bipartite graphs which are biregular of degrees  $q^s$  and  $q^2$  and of girth 8. These graphs have the greatest number of edges among all known  $(n, m)$ -bipartite graphs with the same asymptotics of  $\log_n m$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $s = 1/3$ , our graphs provide an explicit counterexample to a conjecture of Erdős which states that an  $(n, m)$ -bipartite graph with  $m = O(n^{2/3})$  and girth at least 8 has  $O(n)$  edges. This conjecture was recently disproved by de Caen and Székely [2], who established the existence of a family of such graphs having  $n^{1+1/57+o(1)}$  edges. Our graphs have  $n^{1+1/15}$  edges, and so come closer to the best known upper bound of  $O(n^{1+1/9})$ .

## 1. Introduction.

All graphs we consider are simple. The *order (size)* of a graph  $G$  is the number of its vertices (edges). We denote the order of  $G$  by  $v = v(G)$ , and the size of  $G$

---

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by NSF grant DMS-9115473.

by  $e = e(G)$ . If  $G$  contains a cycle, the *girth* of  $G$ , denoted  $g(G)$ , is the length of its shortest cycle. Let  $f(n, m)$  denote the maximum size of a bipartite graph whose partitions have the cardinalities  $n, m$  ( $n \geq m$ ) and whose girth is at least 8. It is well known that  $f(n, n) = \Theta(n^{4/3})$ , and it is easy to show that  $f(n, m) = \Theta(n)$  for  $m = O(n^{1/2})$ . Recently, de Caen and Székely [2], and independently Faudree and Simonovits [4], proved that  $f(n, m) = O(n^{2/3}m^{2/3})$ . The remarks above show that this upper bound is asymptotically tight when  $n = m$ , or  $m = O(n^{1/2})$ . In [2], using generalized quadrangles, the authors demonstrated that  $f(n, m) = \Omega(n^{2/3}m^{2/3})$  also when  $m \sim n^{4/5}$  and  $m \sim n^{7/8}$ .

Another important result in [2] was a disproof of an old conjecture of Erdős (see e.g. [3]) that  $f(n, m) = O(n)$  for  $m = O(n^{2/3})$ . For  $n, m \sim n^\delta$  ( $1/2 < \delta < 7/10$ ) they proved the existence of a 4- and 6-cycle free bipartite graph with  $n^{1+\epsilon}$  edges ( $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta) > 0$ ), which implies that the size of such a graph can be a superlinear function of its order. The partitions of their graphs have cardinalities  $t^{1+\sigma}$  and  $t^{2+\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)}+o(1)}$  and the number of edges is  $t^{2+2\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)}+o(1)}$  ( $0 < \sigma < 1/5$ ). Let us denote these graphs by  $CS_\sigma$ . In particular, setting  $\sigma = 1/37$ , they obtained a graph with  $m \sim n^{2/3}$  and  $n^{1+1/57+o(1)}$  edges. As the authors pointed out, this disproved Erdős' conjecture, but fell well short of their upper bound  $O(n^{1+1/9})$ . The proof of existence is very clever and not easy; it blends some results and techniques from combinatorial number theory and set systems.

The main results of this paper are the following:

For arbitrary odd prime power  $q$ , and arbitrary real number  $s$ ,  $0 < s \leq 1$ , such that  $q^s$  is an integer, we construct an  $(n, m)$ -bipartite graph  $B_s = B_s(q)$  such that

the partition sets of  $B_s$  have  $n = q^5$ ,  $m = q^{3+s}$  vertices,  $e(B_s) = q^{5+s}$ ,  $g(B_s) = 8$ , and  $B_s$  is biregular of degrees  $q^s$  and  $q^2$ . Let  $x_0 = \frac{75-\sqrt{5265}}{180} \approx .0135$ ,  $x_1 = \frac{85-\sqrt{6885}}{170} \approx .0119$  and  $x_2 = \frac{150-\sqrt{17280}}{290} \approx .0639$ . For each  $s \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a unique  $\sigma \in (x_1, x_2]$  such that the graphs  $B_s$  and  $CS_\sigma$  have the same asymptotics of  $\log_n m$ . Similarly, for each  $\sigma \in (x_1, x_2]$ , there exists a unique  $s \in (0, 1]$  such that the graphs  $B_s$  and  $CS_\sigma$  have the same asymptotics of  $\log_n m$ . Moreover for all such  $\sigma \in (x_0, x_2]$  and corresponding  $s$ ,  $e(B_s) > e(CS_\sigma)$ . In particular, for  $m = n^{2/3}$ , the sizes of our graphs are  $n^{1+1/15}$ .

The method we use in this paper is quite elementary and the presentation is self-contained. It is motivated by the idea of embedding Chevalley group geometries in Lie algebras [7] and it has proven to be succesful in producing bipartite graphs of high girth with many edges [5,6,8,9]. A new additional simple technique within the method, namely a certain way of constructing induced subgraphs, is introduced here to build graphs  $B_s(q)$ . We hope that it may become a useful tool in the future.

## 2. Construction of graphs $B_s(q)$ .

Let  $q$  be a odd prime power,  $F_q$  be the field of  $q$  elements, and  $F_{q^2}$  be the quadratic extention of  $F_q$ . Let  $P = \{(p) = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \mid p_1 \in F_q, p_2 \in F_{q^2}, p_3 \in F_q\}$  and  $L = \{[l] = [l_1, l_2, l_3] \mid l_1 \in F_{q^2}, l_2 \in F_{q^2}, l_3 \in F_q\}$ . We define a bipartite graph with partition sets  $P$  and  $L$ . The parentheses and brackets will allow us to distinguish vectors from different partitions. We will refer to vectors from  $P$  as *points* and vectors from  $L$  as *lines*. We define adjacency  $I$  between points and lines (and write  $(p)I[l]$ ) as follows: point  $(p) = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$  is adjacent to line  $[l] = [l_1, l_2, l_3]$

if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} l_2 - p_2 = l_1 p_1 \\ l_3 - p_3 = \bar{l}_1 p_2 + l_1 \bar{p}_2 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$  denotes the involutory automorphism of  $F_{q^2}$  with fixed field  $F_q$ . This defines a bipartite graph  $B = B(q)$  whose vertex partition sets are  $P$  and  $L$ , and whose edges are  $\{(p), [l]\}$  if and only if  $(p)I[l]$ .

**Remark.** There is an alternate description of graphs  $B(q)$  which comes from the geometry of the rank two twisted Chevalley group  ${}^2A_3(q)$ . The graph  $B(q)$  arises in this case as a certain induced subgraph of the incidence graph of this geometry. Using [7] it is possible to obtain the description of  $B(q)$  presented in this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *For every odd prime power  $q$ , the bipartite graph  $B = B(q)$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (a)  $B$  is biregular of order  $q^4 + q^5$  and size  $q^6$ . The degree of every point is  $q^2$ , and the degree of every line is  $q$ .
- (b)  $g(B) = 8$ .

**Proof.** (a) Obviously,  $v(B) = |P| + |L| = q^4 + q^5$ . It is immediate from (1) that for a fixed  $(p) \in V(B)$ , the components of a line  $[l] \in V(B)$  adjacent to  $(p)$  are determined uniquely by the value of  $l_1$ , which can be any element of  $F_{q^2}$ . Therefore, the degree of  $(p)$  in  $B$  is  $q^2$ . In the same way we obtain that the degree of a line  $[l]$  in  $B$  is  $q$ . Therefore  $B$  is biregular and  $e(B) = q^6$ .

Our proof of part (b) will be facilitated by the following observations. First we notice that a graph  $G$  contains no  $2k$ -cycle,  $k \geq 2$ , if there is at most one simple path of length  $k$  between any two of its vertices. We will show that any pair of

vertices of  $B$  is connected by at most one simple path of length  $k$ ,  $k = 2, 3$ . This will imply that  $g(B) \geq 8$  since, being a bipartite graph,  $B$  contains no odd cycles. Then we show that  $g(B) = 8$ .

Another observation is the existence of certain automorphisms of  $B$ . In order to describe the automorphisms, we make a convention that  $x, y$  will denote an arbitrary element of  $F_q, F_{q^2}$ , respectively. Let  $t_i(z)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , be the mappings  $V(B) \rightarrow V(B)$  defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} (p)^{t_0(x)} &= (p_1 + x, p_2, p_3) \\ [l]^{t_0(x)} &= [l_1, l_2 + l_1x, l_3] \\ (p)^{t_1(y)} &= (p_1, p_2 - p_1y, p_3 + 2p_1y\bar{y} - 2(p_2\bar{y} + \bar{p}_2y)) \\ [l]^{t_1(y)} &= [l_1 + y, l_2, l_3 - (l_2\bar{y} + \bar{l}_2y)] \\ (p)^{t_2(y)} &= (p_1, p_2 + y, p_3) \\ [l]^{t_2(y)} &= [l_1, l_2 + y, l_3 + (\bar{l}_1y + l_1\bar{y})] \\ (p)^{t_3(x)} &= (p_1, p_2, p_3 + x) \\ [l]^{t_3(x)} &= [l_1, l_2, l_3 + x] \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** (i) For every  $x \in F_q$ ,  $y \in F_{q^2}$ , the mappings  $t_0(x)$ ,  $t_1(y)$ ,  $t_2(y)$ , and  $t_3(x)$  are automorphisms of graph  $B$  and  $t_i^{-1}(z) = t_i(-z)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

(ii) For every edge  $\{[l], (p)\}$  of  $B$  there exists an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $B$  such that  $[l]^\alpha = [0, 0, 0]$  and  $(p)^\alpha = (0, 0, 0)$ . Thus the automorphism group  $Aut(B)$  acts transitively on edges, on points, and on lines.

**Proof.** We shall prove part (i) of the lemma for  $t_1(y)$  only. For other  $t_i(z)$  it can be done similarly (and actually faster). Let  $\{(p), [l]\}$  be an arbitrary edge of  $B$ , where  $(p) = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$ ,  $[l] = [l_1, l_2, l_3]$ . In terms of components their adjacency

is represented by the system (1). The condition that  $\{(p)^{t_1(y)}, [l]^{t_1(y)}\}$  is an edge of  $B$  is given by the following system:

$$\begin{cases} l_2 - (p_2 - p_1 y) = (l_1 + y)p_1 \\ l_3 - (l_2 \bar{y} + \bar{l}_2 y) - (p_3 + 2p_1 y \bar{y} - 2(p_2 \bar{y} + \bar{p}_2 y)) = (\bar{l}_1 + \bar{y})(p_2 - p_1 y) + (l_1 + y)(\bar{p}_2 - \bar{p}_1 y) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

It is a straightforward verification that systems (1) and (2) are equivalent for all  $y$ . It is obvious that  $t_1(y)$  is a well-defined injection on  $P$  and  $L$ . Therefore it is a bijection. Also  $t_1(y)t_1(-y) = t_1(-y)t_1(y)$  is the identity mapping on  $V(B)$ . Therefore, part (i) of the lemma is proven.

Let  $[l] = [l_1, l_2, l_3]$  and  $(p) = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$  be any two adjacent vertices of  $B$ . Let  $\alpha_0 = t_1(-l_1)t_2(-l_2)t_3(-l_3 - \bar{l}_1 l_2 - l_1 \bar{l}_2)$ . It is easily checked that  $[l]^{\alpha_0} = [0, 0, 0]$ . Since automorphisms preserve adjacency,  $(p)^{\alpha_0} = (p'_1, p'_2, p'_3)$  is adjacent to  $[0, 0, 0]$  so that  $p'_2 = p'_3 = 0$  by (1). Also  $p'_1 = p_1$ , since  $\alpha_0$  fixes the first component of every point. We now observe that the desired automorphism is given by  $\alpha = \alpha_0 t_0(-p_1)$ . ■

Now we show that any pair of vertices of  $B$  is connected by at most one simple path of length 3. Without loss of generality, we assume that the first vertex on the path is a point, and the last is a line. Let  $(p^0)I[l^1]I(p^1)I[l^2]$  be our path. By transitivity on points we may assume  $(p^0) = (0, 0, 0)$ . We denote the first components of  $[l^1]$ ,  $(p^1)$  and  $[l^2]$  by  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  respectively. The conditions of adjacency (1) on subsequent vertices of the path written in terms of their components allow us to express all components of vertices of the path in terms of  $x, y, z$ :  $(p^0)I[l^1]$  gives  $[l^1] = [x, 0, 0]$ ,  $[l^1]I(p^1)$  gives  $(p^1) = (y, -xy, x\bar{x}(y + \bar{y}))$  and  $(p^1)I[l^2]$  gives  $[l^2] = [z, y(z - x), 2yx\bar{x} - y(x\bar{z} + \bar{x}z)]$ . We first show there are no 4-cycles in  $B$ . For this, it suffices to check that  $[l^2]$  is not adjacent to  $(p^0) = (0, 0, 0)$ . But adjacency here would imply that  $y(z - x) = 0$ . Since  $(p^1) \neq (p^0)$ , then  $y \neq 0$ , and therefore

we get  $x = z$ . But this implies that  $[l^1] = [l^2]$ , which is not the case.

We now show  $B$  contains no 6-cycles. Let us look at another path  $(p^0)I[\tilde{l}^1]I(\tilde{p}^1)I[l^2]$  between  $(p^0) = (0, 0, 0)$  and  $[l^2]$ . Then  $[\tilde{l}^1] = [x', 0, 0]$  and  $(\tilde{p}^1) = (y', -x'y', x'\bar{x}'(y' + \bar{y}'))$ . Since  $(\tilde{p}^1)I[l^2]$ , then

$$\begin{cases} y'(z - x') = y(z - x) \\ 2y'x'\bar{x}' - y'(x'\bar{z} + \bar{x}'z) = 2yx\bar{x} - y(x\bar{z} + \bar{x}z) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

This system can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} z(y - y') = xy - x'y' \\ 2yx\bar{x} - 2y'x'\bar{x}' = z(\bar{x}y - \bar{x}'y') + \bar{z}(xy - x'y') \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Now multiply both sides of the second equation of (4) by  $y - y'$ . Replacing  $z(y - y')$  by  $xy - x'y'$  (from (3)), and  $\bar{z}(y - y')$  by  $\bar{x}y - \bar{x}'y'$  (since  $y = \bar{y}, y' = \bar{y}'$ ), we obtain

$$(2yx\bar{x} - 2y'x'\bar{x}')(y - y') = (xy - x'y')(\bar{x}y - \bar{x}'y') + (\bar{x}y - \bar{x}'y')(xy - x'y').$$

Since  $q$  is odd by assumption, this simplifies to  $yy'(x - x')(\bar{x} - \bar{x}') = 0$ . Hence  $y = 0$ ,  $y' = 0$ , or  $x = x'$ , which implies  $(p^1) = (p^0)$ ,  $(\tilde{p}^1) = (p^0)$ , or  $[l^1] = [\tilde{l}^1]$ , respectively. Since  $B$  contains no 4-cycles and our paths are assumed distinct, none of these cases is possible. Therefore there are no two interior vertex disjoint paths between  $(p^0)$  and  $[l^2]$ , and  $B$  is 6-cycle free. Since  $B$  is bipartite,  $g(B) \geq 8$ . Suppose  $g(B) > 8$ . Counting the vertices at distance 0, 2 or 4 from a fixed line gives the inequality

$$1 + q(q^2 - 1) + q(q^2 - 1)^2(q - 1) \leq |L| = q^5,$$

a contradiction since  $q \geq 3$ . Thus  $g(B) = 8$ . ■

We are now ready to describe the graphs  $B_s(q)$ . Let  $S \subseteq F_q$ ,  $s = \log_q |S|$ , and  $T \subseteq F_{q^2}$ ,  $t = \log_q |T|$ .

We define  $P_S = S \times F_{q^2} \times F_q$  and  $L_T = T \times F_{q^2} \times F_q$ . Let  $B_{S,T} = B_{S,T}(q)$  be the subgraph of  $B$  induced by the set of vertices  $P_S \cup L_T$ . The proof of the following theorem is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1 and the definition of  $B_{S,T}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *For every odd prime power  $q$ , the bipartite graph  $B_{S,T}(q)$  is biregular of order  $q^{3+s} + q^{3+t}$ , size  $q^{3+s+t}$  and girth at least 8. The degree of every point of  $P_S$  is  $q^t$ , and the degree of every line from  $L_T$  is  $q^s$ .*

If  $T = F_{q^2}$ , we will write  $B_s = B_s(q)$  instead of  $B_{S,F_{q^2}}$ . This abridged notation is justified by the fact that the properties of graphs  $B_{S,T}(q)$  with which we are here concerned will not depend on the subset  $S$  but only on its cardinality  $s$ . The partitions of  $B_s$  have cardinalities  $n = q^5$  and  $m = q^{s+3} = n^{\frac{s+3}{5}}$ , and the size of  $B_s$  is  $e(B_s) = n^{1+s/5}$ , i.e. the size is a superlinear function of the order (since  $v \sim n$ ).

It is also easy to see that  $g(B_s) = 8$  for all  $s \in (0, 1]$  and all  $q \geq 3$ . Indeed, if  $g(B_s) > 8$ , then counting the vertices at distance 0, 2 or 4 from a fixed point we get  $1 + q^2(q^s - 1) + q^2(q^s - 1)^2(q^2 - 1) \leq |P| = q^{3+s}$ , which is equivalent to

$$1 + q^2[q^2(q^s - 1)^2 - (qq^s + (q^s - 1)(q^s - 2))] \leq 0 \quad (5)$$

Obviously (5) does not hold for  $q^s = 2, q \geq 3$ . Neither does it for  $q^s \geq 3$ , since in this case

$$1 + q^2[q^2(q^s - 1)^2 - (qq^s + (q^s - 1)(q^s - 2))] \geq 1 + q^2[4q^2 - (2q^2 + 3q - 2)] =$$

$$1 + q^2(2q^2 + 3q - 2) > 0.$$

Therefore  $g(B_s) = 8$ .

Now we compare graphs  $B_s$  with the graphs  $CS_\sigma$  of de Caen and Székely which have the same asymptotics of  $\log_n m$ . Let  $n, m$  ( $n \geq m$ ) denote the cardinalities of partitions in  $B_s$  and let  $a, b$  ( $a \geq b$ ) denote the cardinalities of the partitions in the de Caen–Székely graphs.

**Theorem 4.**

- (i) Let  $x_1 = \frac{85-\sqrt{6885}}{170} \approx .0119$  and  $x_2 = \frac{150-\sqrt{17280}}{290} \approx .0639$ . Then for every  $s \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a unique  $\sigma \in (x_1, x_2]$  such that for graphs  $B_s$  and  $CS_\sigma$ ,  $\log_n m \sim \log_a b$ . Similarly, for every  $\sigma \in (x_1, x_2]$ , there exists a unique  $s \in (0, 1]$  such that for graphs  $B_s$  and  $CS_\sigma$ ,  $\log_n m \sim \log_a b$ ;
- (ii) Let  $x_0 = \frac{75-\sqrt{5265}}{180} \approx .0135$ . Then for all  $\sigma \in (x_0, x_2]$ ,  $e(B_s) > e(CS_\sigma)$  whenever  $\log_n m \sim \log_a b$ ;
- (iii) For  $m = n^{2/3}$ ,  $e(B_s) = n^{1+1/15}$ .

**Proof.** As was mentioned in the Introduction, the cardinalities  $a, b$  ( $a \geq b$ ) of the partitions in the de Caen–Székely graphs are  $t^{1+\sigma}$  and  $t^{2+\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)+o(1)}}$ , and the size  $e(CS_\sigma)$  is  $t^{2+2\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)+o(1)}}$  ( $0 < \sigma < 1/5$ ). Comparing the numbers of points in the partitions, it is easy to check that for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{6}$ ,  $\log_a b = \frac{1+\sigma}{2+\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)+o(1)}}$ ,  $\log_a e(CS_\sigma) = \frac{2+2\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)+o(1)}}{2+\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)+o(1)}}$ , and for  $\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{6} < \sigma < 1/5$ ,  $\log_a b = \frac{2+\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)+o(1)}}{1+\sigma}$ , and  $\log_a e(CS_\sigma) = \frac{2+2\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)+o(1)}}{1+\sigma}$ . For graphs  $B_s$ ,  $\log_n m = \frac{s+3}{5} \in (\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}]$ . It is easy to check that for  $0 < \sigma < 1/5$ ,  $\log_a b \in (\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}]$  if and only if  $\sigma \in (x_1, x_2]$ . The condition  $\log_n m \sim \log_a b$  is equivalent to  $\frac{3+s}{5} \sim \frac{1+\sigma}{2+\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)+o(1)}}$ . This proves part (i).

It is another trivial verification that  $\frac{3+s}{5} \sim \frac{1+\sigma}{2+\sigma-3\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)+o(1)}}$  implies  $\log_n e(B_s) >$

$\log_a e(CS_\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in (x_0, x_2]$ . Setting  $n = a$ , we prove part (ii).

Since  $m = n^{2/3}$  if and only if  $s = 1/3$ , we get  $e(B_{1/3}) = n^{1+1/15}$ , which proves part (iii). ■

### Acknowledgement

The authors are indebted to Professor L. A. Székely for sending the preprint of [2] to one of them; its content inspired this research. They are also grateful to an anonymous referee for suggesting an argument which allows to prove that  $g(B) = 8$  for all  $s \in (0, 1]$  and all  $q \geq 3$  ( in the original version of the paper this was proven for “large  $q$ ” only).

## References

1. J. A. Bondy and M. Simonovits, Cycles of even length in graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory (B)*, **16** (1974), pp. 97–105.
2. D. de Caen and L. A. Székely, The maximum size of 4- and 6-cycle free bipartite graphs on  $m, n$  vertices, in *Graphs, Sets and Numbers*, Proc. of the Conference Dedicated to the 60th Birthdays of A. Hajnal and Vera T. Sós, Budapest, 1991, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai.
3. P. Erdős, Some old and new problems in various branches of combinatorics, Proc. of the Tenth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Boca Raton, 1979, Vol. 1, *Congressus Numerantium* 23(1979), 19–38.
4. R. Faudree, M. Simonovits, On a class of degenerate extremal problems II, in preparation.
5. F. Lazebnik and V. A. Ustimenko, New examples of graphs without small cycles and of large size, to appear in the *European Journal of Combinatorics*.
6. F. Lazebnik and V. A. Ustimenko, Explicit construction of graphs with arbitrary large girth and of large size, submitted for publication.
7. V.A. Ustimenko, A linear interpretation of the flag geometries of Chevalley groups. Kiev University. Translated from *Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, Vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 383–387, March, 1990.
8. V.A. Ustimenko and A. J. Woldar, An improvement on the Erdős bound for graphs of girth 16, submitted for publication.
9. A. J. Woldar and V. A. Ustimenko, An application of group theory to extremal graph theory, submitted for publication.