

---

---

# Journal der mathematischen Ablehnungen

Paper No. 29 (2013)

---

---

## On a conjecture on planar polynomials of the form $X(\text{Tr}_n(X) - uX)$

Robert S. Coulter<sup>1</sup> and Marie Henderson<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA  
<sup>2</sup>9/84a Boulcott Street, Wellington, New Zealand

AMS Subject class: 11T06, 12E10

Keywords: Planar functions

---

---

**Note:** This is a personal preprint; for correct page numbering and references please see the original paper, the proper citation for which is:

R.S. Coulter and M. Henderson, *On a conjecture on planar polynomials of the form  $X(\text{Tr}_n(X) - uX)$* , Finite Fields Appl. **21** (2013), 30–34.

---

---

### Abstract

In a recent paper, Kyureghyan and Özbudak proved that  $u \in \{1, 2\}$  was a sufficient condition for the polynomial  $X(X^{q^2} + X^q + (1 - u)X)$  to be planar over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$ , and conjectured the condition was also necessary. This conjecture is established in this note.

---

---

### § 1. Introduction

---

---

Let  $q$  be an odd prime power. We use  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to denote the finite field of  $q$  elements,  $\mathbb{F}_q^*$  its nonzero elements, and  $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$  the ring of polynomials in indeterminate  $X$  with coefficients from  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ . Then  $f$  is a *permutation polynomial* on  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if it induces a bijection on  $\mathbb{F}_q$  under evaluation. If  $f(X + a) - f(X)$  is a permutation polynomial for all  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , then  $f$  is called *planar* over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The motivation for studying permutation polynomials or planar polynomials has been presented many times, with connections ranging from projective geometry to cryptology.

In this note we are interested in a specific conjecture concerning planar polynomials. Let  $n \geq 2$  be a natural number. Set  $\text{Tr}_n(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X^{q^i}$ . The polynomial  $\text{Tr}_n \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$  induces the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  onto  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In a recent paper [3], Kyureghyan and Özbudak considered the planarity of  $f_u(X) = X(\text{Tr}_n(X) - uX)$  with  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . Their main results can be summarised as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (Kyureghyan & Özbudak, [3]).

- (i) If  $n \geq 5$ , then  $f_u$  cannot be planar over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  for any  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ .
- (ii) If  $n = 3$  and  $u \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $f_u$  is planar over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$ .

Kyureghyan and Özbudak conjectured that  $f_u$  cannot be planar for any  $u$  when  $n = 4$ , and that when  $n = 3$ , the condition on  $u$  given above was necessary. Their latter conjecture is indeed true, for in this note we prove

**Theorem 1.2.** *The polynomial  $f_u(X) = X(\text{Tr}_3(X) - uX)$  is planar over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$  if and only if  $u \in \{1, 2\}$ .*

Our method of proof is quite indirect; we never consider the planarity of  $f_u$  directly. Instead, we use certain classification results on planar Dembowski-Ostrom polynomials given in our paper [1].

---

## § 2. Approach

---

A polynomial  $L \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$  is a *q-polynomial* if it has the form  $\sum_i a_i X^{q^i}$ . Such polynomials represent all linear transformations of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  when viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . They are non-singular (permutation polynomials) over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  if and only if  $L(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .

A polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$  is a *q-Dembowski Ostrom (q-DO) polynomial* if it has the form  $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} X^{q^i+q^j}$ . When planar, such a polynomial yields a commutative presemifield of order  $q^n$  which can be represented as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

In [1], we consider the isotopy problem for commutative presemifields, deriving results based on the size of the nuclei. In particular, an unstated but useful fact inherent in all of the results of [1], Section 2, is that when dealing with commutative presemifields of order  $q^n$  with nuclei of order  $q$ , the non-singular linear transformations involved are, in fact, non-singular linear transformations of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and can thus be represented by non-singular  $q$ -polynomials. Furthermore, again when dealing with commutative presemifields of order  $q^n$  with nuclei of order  $q$ , one can strengthen the statement of [1], Theorem 3.3 to deal with planar  $q$ -DO polynomials (the proof is the same as that given). Theorems 2.6 and 3.5 can thus be stated in terms of planar  $q$ -DO polynomials and non-singular  $q$ -polynomials, provided the size of the nucleus is specified as being of order at least  $q$ . This observation is critical, as by combining Theorems 2.6 and 3.5 with Menichetti's classification [5] of commutative presemifields of dimension 3 over their nucleus – he proved there are only two inequivalent commutative presemifields, the finite field and Albert's twisted field – we get the following useful lemma, which can be viewed as the  $q$ -DO polynomial equivalent of [1], Corollary 3.11.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $D \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}[X]$  is a planar  $q$ -DO polynomial, then there exists non-singular  $q$ -polynomials  $L, M \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}[X]$  and  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  satisfying*

$$L(X^{q^i+1}) \equiv D(M(X)) \pmod{X^{q^3} - X}. \quad (1)$$

The cases  $i = 0$  and  $i = 1$  correspond to when  $D$  yields a commutative presemifield equivalent to the finite field or Albert's twisted field, respectively, and we say  $D$  is equivalent to  $X^2$  or  $X^{q+1}$ , depending upon the case.

Lemma 2.1 is the key to our proof. We shall show firstly that if  $f_u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}[X]$  is planar, then it cannot be equivalent to  $X^2$ . Then, we prove that if  $f_u$  is equivalent to  $X^{q+1}$ , then necessarily  $u \in \{1, 2\}$ . Since the planarity of  $f_u$  has been established in those cases in [3], Theorem 1.2 then follows at once.

Before moving on to these cases, we observe if  $u = 0$ , then  $f_u(X)$  cannot be planar as then  $f_u(X)$  must have non-zero roots, which contradicts results given in any of [2, 4, 6]. Consequently, we assume  $u \neq 0$  in all that follows.

---

## § 3. Inequivalence of $f_u(X)$ and $X^2$

---

Suppose  $f_u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}[X]$  is planar,  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}^*$ , and equivalent to  $X^2$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists two  $q$ -polynomials  $L$  and  $M$  which satisfy (1). Set

$$\begin{aligned} L(X) &= \alpha X^{q^2} + \beta X^q + \gamma X, \\ M(X) &= a X^{q^2} + b X^q + c X. \end{aligned}$$

(There are conditions on the coefficients for  $L$  and  $M$  to be permutation polynomials, but surprisingly we will not need them.) Direct calculation shows

$$L(X^2) \pmod{X^{q^3} - X} = \alpha X^{2q^2} + \beta X^{2q} + \gamma X^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_u(M(X)) \pmod{X^{q^3} - X} &= (t^{q^2}a - ua^2)X^{2q^2} + (t^qb - ub^2)X^{2q} + (tc - uc^2)X^2 \\ &\quad + (t^{q^2}b + t^qa - 2uab)X^{q^2+q} \\ &\quad + (t^{q^2}c + ta - 2uac)X^{q^2+1} \\ &\quad + (t^qc + tb - 2ubc)X^{q+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $t = c + a^q + b^{q^2}$ . By (1), we may equate coefficients. In particular, we get

$$t^{q^2}b + t^qa - 2uab = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$t^{q^2}c + ta - 2uac = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$t^qc + tb - 2ubc = 0. \tag{4}$$

First, suppose  $abc = 0$ . Suppose  $a = 0$ , say. Then (2) and (3) imply  $b = c = 0$  or  $t = 0$ . In the former case, we find  $M(X) = 0$ , contrary to  $M$  being a permutation polynomial. In the latter case, we must have  $c = -b^{q^2}$  and now (4) implies  $2ub^{q^2+1} = 0$ , so that  $b = 0 = c$  and again  $M(X) = 0$ . A similar argument shows  $b \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ .

Thus  $abc \neq 0$ . We can thus solve for  $2u$  in each of the three equations (2), (3) and (4); we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2u &= \frac{t^{q^2}b + t^qa}{ab} \\ &= \frac{t^{q^2}c + ta}{ac} \\ &= \frac{t^qc + tb}{bc}. \end{aligned}$$

Via some more simple arithmetic we find

$$u = \frac{t}{c} = \frac{t^q}{b} = \frac{t^{q^2}}{a}. \tag{5}$$

Returning to (1), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= t^{q^2}a - ua^2, \\ \beta &= t^qb - ub^2, \\ \gamma &= tc - uc^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the appropriate part of (5) where necessary, we now find  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ , and so  $L(X) = 0$ , a final contradiction.

There being no more possibilities, we have thus shown  $f_u(X)$  can never be equivalent to  $X^2$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$ . We note that practically the same argument can be applied to show that if  $f_u(X)$  is planar over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  for  $n = 4$ , then it cannot be equivalent to  $X^2$ .

---

#### § 4. Equivalence of $f_u(X)$ and $X^{q+1}$

---

Now suppose  $f_u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}[X]$  is planar,  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}^*$ , and equivalent to  $X^{q+1}$ . As above, we appeal to Lemma 2.1 for the existence of two  $q$ -polynomials  $L$  and  $M$ , whose coefficients we will denote as above, which satisfy (1). The calculation for  $f_u(M(X)) \pmod{X^{q^3} - X}$  is as before, while

$$L(X^{q+1}) \pmod{X^{q^3} - X} = \alpha X^{q^2+1} + \beta X^{q^2+q} + \gamma X^{q+1}.$$

The two cases are again  $abc = 0$  or  $abc \neq 0$ .

This time, let us deal with the case  $abc \neq 0$  first, which is practically the direct reverse argument of the corresponding case in our last proof. Equating coefficients for the  $X^{2q^j}$  terms,  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ , we find

$$0 = t^{q^2}a - ua^2, \tag{6}$$

$$= t^q b - ub^2, \tag{7}$$

$$= tc - uc^2. \tag{8}$$

Solving for  $u$  in each of these equations, we obtain the identities

$$u = \frac{t}{c} = \frac{t^q}{b} = \frac{t^{q^2}}{a}.$$

Now equating the coefficients in (1) for the remaining terms, we have

$$\beta = t^{q^2}b + t^q a - 2uab,$$

$$\alpha = t^{q^2}c + ta - 2uac,$$

$$\gamma = t^q c + tb - 2ubc.$$

Now substituting leads to  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ , so that  $L(X) = 0$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $abc = 0$  must hold. If any two of  $a, b$  and  $c$  are zero, then the remaining non-zero equation from (6), (7), and (8), along with  $t = c + a^q + b^{q^2}$ , forces  $u = 1$ , a case we know to be planar.

Now suppose only  $a = 0$ . Then we still have

$$u = \frac{t}{c} = \frac{t^q}{b}.$$

Solving for  $c$  and  $b$ , we can substitute into the formula for  $t$  to find

$$\begin{aligned} t &= c + b^{q^2} \\ &= \frac{t}{u} + \frac{t}{u^{q^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u \neq 0$ , we know  $t \neq 0$ , and so we can multiply through by  $u^{q^2}/t$  to obtain the equation

$$0 = u^{q^2} - u^{q^2-1} - 1. \tag{9}$$

Now multiplying by  $u$ , we can factor to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (u-1)(u^{q^2} - 1) \\ &= (u^q - 1)(u-1) \\ &= (u^{q^2} - 1)(u^q - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the last two identities are obtained by successively raising the previous identity to the  $q$ th power. Clearly  $u \neq 1$ , and so we find  $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Now (9) simplifies to  $u = 2$ , another case which we know to be planar. The cases  $b = 0$  and  $c = 0$  lead to the same conclusion.

Hence  $u \in \{1, 2\}$  is forced, and since we already know both are planar, Theorem 1.2 has been established. We also have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $u \in \{1, 2\}$ , then the planar DO polynomial  $f_u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3}[X]$  necessarily yields a commutative presemifield equivalent to Albert's twisted field.*

---

## § 5. Final comments

---

While we have resolved one of the two conjectures of Kyureghyan and Özbudak, there remains the problem of showing  $f_u(X)$  is never planar over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  with  $n = 4$ . One might be tempted to approach the  $n = 4$  case in a similar way; certainly, one can show  $f_u(X)$  is never equivalent to  $X^2$  in almost identical fashion to our Section 3. However, additional problems arise. Firstly, the classification of planar DO polynomials representing commutative presemifields of dimension 4 over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is incomplete. Secondly, and perhaps more importantly, even if we had such a classification, the strict strong isotopy results from [1] no longer hold in general (though they do in some cases, in particular the case  $X^2$ ), and so there is no four dimensional version of Lemma 2.1. So we suspect that a different approach will be needed to resolve the  $n = 4$  conjecture from [3].

---

## References

---

- [1] R.S. Coulter and M. Henderson, *Commutative presemifields and semifields*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), 282–304.
- [2] R.S. Coulter and R.W. Matthews, *On the number of distinct values of a class of functions over a finite field*, Finite Fields Appl. **17** (2011), 220–224.
- [3] G. Kyureghyan and F. Özbudak, *Planar products of two linearized polynomials*, submitted.
- [4] G.M. Kyureghyan and A. Pott, *Some theorems on planar mappings*, Arithmetic of Finite Fields: Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop, WAIFI 2008 (J. von zur Gathen, J.L. Imanã, and C.K. Koç, eds.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5130, 2008, pp. 117–122.
- [5] G. Menichetti, *On a Kaplansky conjecture concerning three-dimensional division algebras over a finite field*, J. Algebra **47** (1977), 400–410.
- [6] W. Qiu, Z. Wang, G. Weng, and Q. Xiang, *Pseudo-Paley graphs and skew Hadamard difference sets from presemifields*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **44** (2007), 49–62.